IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS, EASTERN DIVISION

BRIANNA MCCARTY,)
Plaintiff,) Case No. 22-cv-5751
vs.))) JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
THE VILLAGE OF LAKEMOOR, et al., and)
Defendants.))

DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS PLAINTIFF'S FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT

NOW COMES Defendants, VILLAGE OF LAKEMOOR AND CHIEF DAVID GODLEWSKI, in his individual and official capacity, by and through their attorneys, PETERSON, JOHNSON & MURRAY - CHICAGO, LLC, and move this Honorable Court to Dismiss Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint ("FAC"), states as follows:

Introduction

Plaintiff Brianna (Tedesco) McCarty ("Plaintiff") filed her multi-count FAC on January 19, 2023, after being granted leave by this Court. Just as with the initial complaint filed on October 19, 2022, this iteration alleges the same claims including: violations of the Americans with Disability Act ("ADA") Discrimination (Count I), Title VII Sexual Discrimination and Harassment Claim (Count II), Due Process violation pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 (Count III), Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress (Count IV), and Sex Discrimination and Hostile Work Environment pursuant to the Illinois Human Rights Act (Count V). Again, Defendants do not take dispute that Plaintiff was involved in a car accident during a traffic stop or involved in an incident with a suspect who drew a gun upon her, but Plaintiff, in the face of a Rule 11 safe harbor letter dated January 9, 2023 and the required accompanying motion for sanctions, persists on taking completely incompatible legal and factual positions in two separate legal proceedings. Even the proposed amended complaint (Doc No. 10-1)

contained the allegation that "After being terminated, Plaintiff filed an application with the Pension Board seeking an award of disability." (Doc. No. 10-1, para. 134). That allegation has been changed to state, "Recognizing that she was going to be terminated, Plaintiff filed an application with the Pension Board seeking an award of disability." (First Am. Comp., Doc. No. 13, para. 134). Plaintiff trying to imply that she filed for a duty disability pension, after training a civilian employee in July of 2019. *Id.* at paras. 132-134. However, the record from Plaintiff's Disability Pension Proceeding, including her testimony therein, is that she filed for a duty disability pension on April 15, 2019. (Ex. 2, Pension Board Decision and Order, p. 4, para. 9).

Even after pointing out the misrepresentations and omissions to Plaintiff's counsel, the FAC still omits any substantive reference to 1) the fact that she was awarded a full-duty disability pension during a public proceeding before the pension board¹, 2) that she testified that she could not perform the essential job functions of a police officer during that proceeding in order to obtain the full-duty disability pension, 3) that the Village provided every benefit to Plaintiff as required by law, 4) that Plaintiff testified, under oath, she was not aware of any permanent, full-time light duty positions within the department, and 5) that approximately one month before the filing of this lawsuit, Plaintiff submitted a Physician's Certification of Disability signed by her physician on September 20, 2022. Plaintiff has removed the request to be returned to employment as a police officer, which is a tacit admission that this cause of action and the receipt of a duty disability pension based upon the inability to perform the essential duties of a police officer, are inconsistent legal positions.

It still remains unclear why Plaintiff would omit the fact that she filed an application for a duty disability pension in April 2019, instead of accepting the accommodations offered by the Village and as requested by her doctor, and omit the fact that she testified under oath that she could not

¹ Plaintiff alleges that she was awarded a "disability benefit" (Doc. No. 13., para. 135), but fails to disclose that she was awarded a full duty-disability pension as a result of her testimony to the Pension Board stating she could not perform the essential job functions of a police officer. (Ex. 1, Transcript of Public Proceeding, In the Matter of the Disability Application of Brianna Tedesco, March 17, 2021, p. 32).

perform the essential job functions or make any reference to her physician's recent certification of disability. Plaintiff previously misrepresented to this Court that she can fully perform the essential job functions of a police officer and has taken a completely contrary position before another tribunal, but has now modified that allegation to state that she can "perform her job duties with a reasonable accommodation." (Doc. No. 13, para. 7). As such, she should be judicially estopped from taking a contrary position in this case i.e., that she can perform the essential job functions with a reasonable accommodation because she has and continues to maintain she is permanently disabled from performing those job duties.

Even if this Court does not determine that Plaintiff is judicially estopped from taking a position contrary to her testimony and doctor's submissions in the Pension Board proceeding, Plaintiff, based upon her doctor's September 2022 certification, cannot perform the essential job functions of a police officer, which sounds the death knell for her ADA claim.

Additionally, Plaintiff's Section 1983 and state law Intentional Infliction of Emotional distress claims are barred by the statute of limitations. Plaintiff may argue that there is a continuing violation, but the case law is clear that Plaintiff's claims accrued upon her termination. As such those counts are untimely and must be dismissed.

Lastly, Plaintiff's Charge of Discrimination filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") and the Illinois Department of Human Rights ("IDHR") mention nothing about retaliation or a hostile work environment, nor does the Charge mention any malfeasance by the Chief of Police. Additionally, Plaintiff indicated that the discrimination occurred on August 6, 2019 when she was discharged and that this was not a continuing violation. Even the Charge of Discrimination does not check the box for "Continuing Action". (Ex. 3, Charge of Discrimination). As such, the Chief of Police is not a proper party, as she did not exhaust her administrative remedies with respect to him, and this Charge of Discrimination demonstrates that all of the counts, with the exception of Count I and Count V are barred by the statute of limitations. For the following reasons,

dismissal of Plaintiff's lawsuit in its entirety is warranted.

Legal Standard

When ruling on a motion to dismiss pursuant to Rule 12(b)(6), the court accepts as true the well-pled facts of the Complaint, and draws all reasonable inferences in favor of the plaintiff. *Perkins v. Silverstein*, 939 F.2d 463, 466 (7th Cir. 1991). The purpose of a Rule 12(b)(6) motion is to test the sufficiency of the Complaint, not its merits. *Gibson v. City of Chicago*, 910 F.2d 1510, 1520 (7th Cir. 1990). In order to withstand a motion to dismiss, the Complaint must describe the claim in sufficient detail to give the defendant fair notice of the claim and the grounds upon which the claim is based. *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555, 127 S. Ct. 1955, 1964 (2007) (*quoting Conley v. Gibson*, 355 U.S. 41, 47, 78 S. Ct. 99 (1957)). Additionally, the Complaint must plausibly suggest plaintiff's right to relief beyond a speculative level. See *Brooks v. Ross*, 578 F.3d 574 (7th Cir. 2009); *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 129 S.Ct. 1937, 1950 (2009), *citing Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 554-55. A complaint does not satisfy the pleading requirements if it tenders "naked assertions" that do not contain "further factual enhancement." *Ashcroft*, 129 S. Ct. at 1949, *quoting Twombly*, 550 U.S. at 557. In order to survive dismissal, a complaint must assert sufficient facts to state a claim that is "plausible on its face;" which requires "more than a sheer possibility" that a defendant has acted improperly. *Id*.

Facts

Defendants incorporate the allegations in the FAC and the exhibits attached to the same (Doc. No. 13). Additionally, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 10(c), Defendants incorporate and attach hereto documents referenced in the Complaint. See, *Phillips v. Prudential Ins. Co. of America*, 714 F.3d 1017, 1019-20 (7th Cir. 2013)("In conducting our review, we must consider not only the complaint itself, but also . . . documents that are critical to the complaint and referred to in it, and information that is subject to proper judicial notice.") (internal citations and quotation marks omitted).

<u>Argument</u>

I. Plaintiff's ADA claims are barred by Judicial Estoppel.

Although for the purposes of a Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss, the movant must accept the allegations as true, this court can take judicial notice of the related Pension Board hearings, and thereby, Plaintiff's testimony and the submissions therein as a public proceeding. Plaintiff did not reference her statements or the evidence adduced during that proceeding or her award of a full-duty disability pension in the Complaint, and for good reason. Notably, she claims that she filed for the duty disability pension *after* she trained a civilian employee in 2019 because she recognized that she was going to be terminated. (Doc. No. 13, paras. 132-134). Plaintiff's allegations are demonstrably false because she filed for a duty disability on April 15, 2019 (Ex. 1, Transcript of Public Proceeding, In the Matter of the Disability Application of Brianna Tedesco, March 17, 2021, p. 39) and was fired on August 6, 2019, during her probationary period. (Doc. No. 13, paras. 132-134). Setting aside the factual misrepresentations, Plaintiff is now taking a position contrary to her position and sworn testimony in the pension proceeding.

Before this Court, Plaintiff has again taken a position completely at odds with her testimony, submissions, and position in the pension matter as evidenced by her allegations in the FAC. Indeed, if she had testified that she was able to perform the essential job functions of a police officer before the pension board with an accommodation, then she would not have received duty disability pension award, nor the payments and related benefits she has received since her employment with the department was terminated. Second, her submissions to the Pension Board make it clear that she would not and could not return to the Village to be a police officer under any circumstances, as was stated by her doctor. Plaintiff continues to maintain that she is disabled and unable to return to work before the pension board - just weeks before filing this lawsuit, she submitted additional documentation to the Pension Board in support of her position that she remains disabled, unable to perform the job of Patrol Officer, and eligible for pension benefits. Setting aside violations of Fed.

Rule of Civ. Proc. 11(b) and ethical obligations of candor with the Court, Plaintiff has taken an opposite factual and legal position before the Pension Board for economic gain and succeeded in that endeavor. Thus, Plaintiff should now be estopped from taking a contrary and incompatible position in this matter for potential future financial gain.

The doctrine of judicial estoppel prohibits a litigant from the type of action being perpetrated by Plaintiff in the case at bar. "Judicial estoppel is a doctrine of discretion that is intended to protect the integrity of the judicial process." See Juza v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 794 F. App'x 529, 535 (7th Cir. 2020); see also New Hampshire v. Maine, 532 U.S. 742, 749 (2001) (noting that "courts have uniformly recognized that its purpose is 'to protect the integrity of the judicial process'") (citation omitted); Ladd v. ITT Corp., 148 F.3d 753, 756 (7th Cir. 1998) ("[T]he purpose of the doctrine . . . is to reduce fraud in the legal process by forcing a modicum of consistency on a repeating litigant. . . . "); In re Cassidy, 892 F.2d 637, 641 (7th Cir. 1990) ("Judicial estoppel is a doctrine designed to prevent the perversion of the judicial process."); 18B Charles Alan Wright et al., Fed. Practice & Procedure § 4477 (2d ed. 2020). The doctrine "prevent[s] litigants from 'playing fast and loose with the courts," In re Cassidy, 892 F.2d at 641 (citation omitted).

Distilled to its essence, "[t]he doctrine of judicial estoppel prevents litigants from manipulating the judicial system by prevailing in different cases or phases of a case by adopting inconsistent positions." Spaine v. Community Contacts, Inc., 756 F.3d 542, 547 (7th Cir. 2014). It has long been held that "A litigant is forbidden to obtain a victory on one ground and then repudiate that ground in a different case in order to win a second victory." Chaveriat v. Williams Pipe Line Co., 11 F.3d 1420, 1427 (7th Cir. 1993); see also Williams v. Hainje, 375 F. App'x 625, 627 (7th Cir. 2010) ("Broadly speaking, judicial estoppel precludes a party from abandoning positions after they have prevailed on them in earlier litigation.").

Plaintiff has testified under oath that she could no longer perform the essential job functions of a police officer, which in part was the basis for the award of the duty disability pension. See Ex. 1, p. 32. Under oath, Ms. (Tedesco) McCarty testified as follows:

- Q. Okay. At some point or another, do you believe you can be a Police Officer in full and unrestricted duty today?
- A. I don't.
- Q. Can you explain to the Board why not?
- A. With my triggers, I don't know that I could safely be a Police Officer, and effectively feel like I would put my partners at risk, and I would put the community at risk.
- Q. You submitted an application that's included in the packet as Exhibit 1; is that right?
- A. Yes.

Id.

Based upon the testimony of Plaintiff, the physicians' reports, and documents put into evidence, the Pension Board noted the following facts, in awarding the duty disability pension to Plaintiff:

- 70) On April 2, 2019, Dr. Kroll provided a progress report to the Department Chief. Dr. Kroll stated that Applicant had participated in thirteen (13) individual therapy sessions and one (1) group session with an additional group session scheduled for April 12, 2019. Dr. Kroll noted that Applicant had been compliant and motivated to return to work but that she was still experiencing significant symptoms of anxiety and PTSD. Applicant remained on light duty. (Bd. Ex. #3, R 36).
- On April 29, 2019, Applicant emailed Dr. Kroll stating that she believed it in her best interest not to return to unrestricted duty at that time. Applicant outlined her reasoning in detail: she stated that the continuation of her fear, anxiety, and PTSD from the officer involved shooting made the effective performance of her job as a patrol officer impossible. Applicant informed Dr. Kroll and the Department Chief that she would be applying for disability. (Bd. Ex. #3, R 32).
- (Ex. 2, Decision and Order, p. 13)(emphasis added).

Plaintiff has not sought to be reinstated to full active duty before the pension board. Instead, Plaintiff has recently certified her continued inability to perform her job to the pension board and she continues to collect pension payments, consistent with her arguments in the Pension Board matter,

but inapposite to what she has asserted in this action. This case is precisely the reason Judicial Estoppel exists. Not only did Plaintiff fail to mention her duty disability pension testimony in her filings before this Court, instead, she alleged that she was able to perform the essential job functions of a police officer with a reasonable accommodation. (Doc. No. 13, para. 7). However, her physician certified that she was unable to go out after sunset, unable to approach cars, and lacked confidence to carry a firearm and ammunition, and her own testimony before the pension board mentions similar issues and triggers. (Ex. 4, Physician's Certificate of Disability). Nothing happened from September 20, 2022, the most recent date of Plaintiff's pension board certification, and the filing of this lawsuit (or the FAC) to alter the facts asserted in the pension matter. Plaintiff has taken no affirmative steps with the pension board to be reinstated to her prior position. Thus, Plaintiff should be estopped from asserting an ADA claim, seeking reinstatement, or asserting any facts or arguments inconsistent with her position in the Pension Board proceeding. As a result, Count I of Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed with prejudice.

Similarly, Count II of the Complaint brings a claim for Title VII Sexual Discrimination. Although that count is defective because Plaintiff never brought a harassment complaint to the EEOC, Plaintiff now alleges that similarly situated male officers were put on light duty for years. (Doc. No. 13, para. 131). Again, Plaintiff took a contrary position in the pension board proceeding. As memorialized in the Decision & Order, she testified to the following:

- 52) Applicant is not aware of any permanent, full-time light duty positions within the Department. She had a light duty position, but she was told that it was temporary and would not be allowed to continue. No permanent, full-time light duty position was ever offered. (Tr. p. 37, 57).
- 53) Applicant testified that she requested to be able to work only during the daytime hours but that the Department denied this request. (Tr. p. 57).

(Ex. 2, Pension Board Decision & Order, p. 10).

Now Plaintiff wants to assert that there were light duty positions of similarly situated officers, in a conclusory manner, without any description of the factors. Regardless, to avoid a

light duty position, Plaintiff testified that there was nothing available. Plaintiff should be estopped from arguing that she was treated differently than similarly situated male counterparts because she took a different position before the pension board. Therefore, Count II of Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed.

II. Plaintiff's ADA claims fail as a matter of law.

Assuming arguendo that this Court determines that Plaintiff is not judicially estopped, the Pension Board proceeding makes it clear that Plaintiff was on light duty, per the requested accommodations. Plaintiff has also provided evidence that further accommodation requests were accepted and approved by the Chief of Police and Village, but that it was Plaintiff who then rejected her own physician's accommodation request. Further, Plaintiff's testimony in the pension board proceeding and recent Physician's Certification of Disability make it abundantly clear that she could not, and still cannot, fulfill her essential job duties as required under the ADA. Thus, it is difficult to fathom how she can assert any ADA claim under this set of circumstances. The law makes it clear that she cannot. For the following reasons, Plaintiff's claims predicated upon the ADA should be dismissed with prejudice.

a. ADA Discrimination.

Plaintiff's legal theory related to her ADA claims is the equivalent of putting a square peg in a round whole. The Complaint states that Plaintiff can perform the essential job functions, with a reasonable accommodation although she maintains that she cannot perform the essential job functions in her pension proceeding. Second, she testified that it was dangerous for her, her co-workers and the public for her to be on the street in an emergency situation. Third, the most ironic part of this ADA discrimination claim is that the Village wanted Plaintiff to return to work with an accommodation, approved all accommodation requests even to the detriment of typical operations, but Plaintiff did not and does not want to return to work. See, Doc. No. 13, para. 138 and Exhibit M to the Village's

Response to the Charge of Discrimination.² The exhibit that attached to the Village's Response clearly demonstrates that the Village and Chief approved and were making all accommodations recommended by Dr. Kroll as of April 15, 2019, including working during the day shift. *Id.* When the Chief approved and offered the accommodation, Plaintiff rejected the accommodation and asked for a disability pension application. *Id.* These allegations, the documents incorporated by reference and the pension board evidence, render Plaintiff's ADA discrimination claim frivolous.

The ADA states:

No covered entity shall discriminate against a qualified individual with a disability because of the disability of such an individual in regard to job application procedures, the hiring, advancement, or discharge of employees, employee compensation, job training, and other terms, conditions, and privileges of employment.

42 U.S.C. § 12112. (Emphasis added).

The ADA does not, however, erect an impenetrable barrier around the disabled employee, preventing the employer from taking any employment actions vis-a-vis the employee. The ADA prohibits discrimination against "a qualified individual with a disability because of the disability." 42 U.S.C. § 12112(a). The Act defines a "qualified individual with a disability" as "an individual with a disability who, with or without reasonable accommodation, can perform the essential functions of the employment position that such individual holds or desires." 42 U.S.C. § 12111(8)(emphasis added). Pursuant to the controlling case law, a plaintiff has the burden of proving that he is "qualified" to perform the essential functions of the job he holds or seeks, with or without reasonable accommodation. See Best v. Shell Oil Co., 107 F.3d 544, 547-48 (7th Cir. 1997); Weiler v. Household Fin. Corp., 101 F.3d 519, 524 (7th Cir. 1996); DeLuca v. Winer Indus., Inc., 53 F.3d 793, 797 (7th Cir. 1995).

² Paragraph 138 of Plaintiff's FAC references page 5 of the Village's Response to the Charge of Discrimination. Exhibit M to the Village's Response was not attached, but is attached hereto as Exhibit 5. By operation FRCP 10(c), the Village's Response and copy of the exhibits supporting the response are incorporated into the FAC.

Plaintiff's ADA Discrimination is devoid of substance and merit. Plaintiff, by her own duty disability application, sworn testimony before the Pension Board during a public hearing and September 2022 Physician's certification, cannot establish that she is a "qualified individual" under the ADA. Plaintiff is not disabled from the major life activity of working. While Plaintiff previously testified she could not perform the essential functions of the specific position of Patrol Officer either with or without accommodation. Yet, Plaintiff now alleges she can perform the essential functions of her positions as a sworn police officer with a reasonable accommodation. Doc. No. 13, para. 7. However, the Decision and Order from the Pension Board, which granted the full-duty disability pension to Plaintiff and the Physician's Certification foreclose the applicability of the ADA in any form because she cannot establish the threshold issue of being a qualified individual.

Plaintiff has alleged accommodations were initially provided by the Village, but then later rejected. However, this allegation is refuted by the Decision and Order by the Pension Board. During that hearing evidence was adduced and made part of the decision, that Plaintiff told her Physician that she was going to apply for a disability pension instead of accepting the accommodations from the Village or returning to full duty. (Ex. 2, Decision & Order, para. 70-71). The FAC omits reference to this Pension Board proceeding, the evidence and testimony adduced during that proceeding. It is clear that Plaintiff and her counsel have made a conscious decision to hide material information from another public proceeding in order to foster some narrative that Defendants simply fired Plaintiff and deprived her of her career as a police officer. This could not be further from the truth and the public record eviscerates any such narrative. Plaintiff cannot perform the essential job functions of a police officer per the testimony elicited during the pension board proceedings and in her doctor's September 2022 certification and, she has, herself, admittedly maintained that she cannot perform the essential job functions of a police officer. Thus, Plaintiff is not a qualified individual under the Americans with Disability Act and Count I fails as a matter of law. With these facts, Plaintiff's ongoing receipt of a full-duty disability pension, and the Decision & Order from the Pension Board it is

incomprehensible how Plaintiff can allege Defendants violation the ADA, when she chose to seek a duty disability pension instead of returning to work. Nonetheless, the testimony and order from the Pension Board public proceeding render the ADA Discrimination a legal nullity and therefore, this Court should dismiss the ADA Discrimination claims in Count I.

III. Plaintiff's claims are barred by the statute of limitations.

Plaintiff was terminated from her employment with the Village of Lakemoor on August 6, 2019. (Ex. 5, EEOC Charge of Discrimination). The significance of the Charge of Discrimination is that Plaintiff knew her claims accrued upon her termination. However, unlike her Title VII, ADA, and IDHR claim, Plaintiff had to file her Section 1983 claim (Count III) within two years of her termination i.e., August 6, 2021. Similarly, her state law claim for IIED is untimely. For the reasons set forth in this section, Counts III and IV of Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed with prejudice.

A. <u>Plaintiff's Section 1983 Due Process claims were filed after the applicable statute of limitations.</u>

Based upon the Charge of Discrimination and the Complaint, Plaintiff was terminated during her probationary period as a Police Officer by the Village of Lakemoor on August 6, 2019. In Illinois, the statute of limitations period for § 1983 claims is two years, 735 ILCS 5/13-202; Jenkins v. Vill. of Maywood, 506 F.3d 622, 623 (7th Cir. 2007), and the claim accrues "when the plaintiff knows or should know that his or her constitutional rights have been violated," Hileman v. Maze, 367 F.3d 694, 696 (7th Cir. 2004) (quoting Kelly v. City of Chicago, 4 F.3d 509, 511 (7th Cir. 1993)). We use a two-step test to determine the accrual date: (1) we identify the injury and (2) we determine when the plaintiff could have sued for that injury. Hileman, 367 F.3d at 696.

In this case, Plaintiff was not prohibited from suing for a Section 1983 Equal Protection claim. The Seventh Circuit has held that the date of the unlawful employment practice is when a "final, ultimate, [and] non-tentative" decision was made for which the employee receives unequivocal notice. Flannery v. Recording Indus. Ass'n of Am., 354 F.3d 632, 637 (7th Cir. 2004); Smith v.

Potter, 445 F.3d 1000, 1007 (7th Cir. 2006). Here, Plaintiff knew that the adverse employment action was, at the latest, August 6, 2019, which is the date she included on her Charge of Discrimination. Thus, Plaintiff had two years to file a Section 1983 action against Defendants, which she failed to do. Thus, her Section 1983 claim is untimely and Count III should be dismissed with prejudice.

B. <u>Plaintiff's state law Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress claims are barred</u> by the Tort Immunity Act.

Plaintiff has brought an Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress ("IIED") claim (Count IV), against the Chief of Police. Setting aside the lack of any allegations that rise to the level of extreme and outrageous conduct on the part of the Chief (nor any allegations that are remotely factual), Plaintiff's claims are barred by the one-year statute of limitations in Local Government and Governmental Employee Act ("Tort Immunity Act"). 745 ILCS 10/8-101. There are no allegations of any conduct by the Chief of Police against the Plaintiff after her termination on August 6, 2019. Any state law claim stemming from her employment, outside of the claims before the IDHR, had to be filed by August 6, 2020. Here, Plaintiff did not file her lawsuit until October 19, 2022, therefore, the IIED claim is barred by the applicable statute of limitations and Count IV should be dismissed with prejudice.

IV. <u>Plaintiff failed to exhaust her administrative remedies regarding a hostile work environment.</u>

In this case, Plaintiff brings suit for Title VII Sexual Discrimination and Harassment Claim (Count II) and Sex Discrimination and Hostile Work Environment pursuant to the Illinois Human Rights Act (Count V). Notably, the Charge of Discrimination did not contain reference to any harassment, hostile work environment, nor did it mention any personal conduct of the Chief of Police. Thus, Plaintiff should be precluded from raising claims that should have been brought to the EEOC and IDHR.

"Before bringing a civil lawsuit, a plaintiff alleging Title VII or ADA violations must first exhaust his administrative remedies by filing charges with the EEOC and receiving a right to sue

letter. Chaidez v. Ford Motor Co., 937 F.3d 998, 1004 (7th Cir. 2019) (Title VII); Riley v. City of Kokomo, 909 F.3d 182, 189 (7th Cir. 2018) (ADA). After receiving a right to sue letter, a plaintiff filing in federal court "may bring only those claims that were included in her EEOC charge, or that are like or reasonably related to the allegations of the charge and growing out of such allegations." Chaidez, 937 F.3d at 1004 (quoting Geldon v. S. Milwaukee Sch. Dist., 414 F.3d 817, 819 (7th Cir. 2005)); see Riley, 909 F.3d at 189. The exhaustion requirement serves two purposes: first, it allows the EEOC and the employer an opportunity to settle; and second, it puts the employer on notice of the conduct the employee challenges. Chaidez, 937 F.3d at 1004. Given these purposes, "a party not named as the respondent in the charge may not ordinarily be sued in a private civil action." Alam v. Miller Brewing Co., 709 F.3d 662, 666 (7th Cir. 2013).

The Charge of Discrimination only claims that she was discriminated against because of a disability and that she was not allowed to remain on light duty, allegedly unlike a male police officer. There is nothing about retaliation or a hostile work environment and those claims cannot be said to "grow out of" the allegations in the Charge of Discrimination. Thus, these Counts should be dismissed with prejudice.

Additionally, the Chief of Police cannot be personally liable under Title VII. Seventh Circuit precedent clearly states that Title VII does not impose personal liability on agents, but rather invokes the doctrine of respondeat superior to make employers responsible for the actions of their agents. Thanongsinh v. Board of Educ., 462 F.3d 762, 771 n.7 (7th Cir. 2006) (citing Gastineau v. Fleet Mortg. Corp., 137 F.3d 490, 493 (7th Cir. 1998)). In other words, an individual cannot be held liable in their individual capacity as a supervisor for claims under Title VII—only the company can. See Gastineau, 137 F.3d at 494; Williams v. Banning, 72 F.3d 552, 555 (7th Cir. 1995) ("[A] supervisor does not ... fall within Title VII's definition of employer."). Therefore, to the extent that this Court determines the failure to mention Chief Godlewski in the Charge of Discrimination is not fatal, he still cannot be liable personally, under Title VII.

Additionally, Chief Godlewski is also not an "employer" under the Illinois Human Rights Act. 775 ILCS 5/2-101(B). Under Section 6-101(A), it is a civil rights violation for "a person" to retaliate against another for opposing unlawful discrimination. But in the employment context, where an official of the employer undertakes the retaliatory act in the employer's name, the charge must be against the employer, and not the official in their personal capacity. Watkins v. Office of State Appellate Defender, 2012 IL App (1st) 111756, ¶ 37 (citing Anderson v. Modern Metal Prod., 305 Ill. App. 3d 91, 102 (2d Dist. 1999)); see also in Request for Review by: Ingrid Gill Richards, IHRC, ALS No. 18-0334, 2019 WL 4190033, *1 (Aug. 13, 2019).

Individuals may be held liable in retaliation claims under Section 6-101(A) of the Act. However, when the individual is a company employee or official and undertakes an action in their capacity as an employee or agent of the employer, the charge must be against the employer alone, and not against the individual. *In re Request for Review by: Guillermo Binghay*, IHRC, Charge No. 1991CN2356, 1994 ILHUM LEXIS 608, *2 (May 27, 1994); *In re Request for Review by: Jason Nieman*, IHRC, ALS No. 15-0233, 2019 ILHUM LEXIS 221, *2 (February 19, 2019) (the Petitioner's charge was dismissed for lack of jurisdiction because an agent of a company cannot be held personally liable for a retaliation charge when acting in an official capacity). Therefore, these claims should also be dismissed against him in his individual capacity.

WHEREFORE, for the above and foregoing reasons, Defendants respectfully request that this Honorable Court enter an Order granting this motion to dismiss Plaintiff's claims with prejudice, an award of fees and costs for defending this matter, and for any other relief that this Court deems necessary and just.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/Dominick L. Lanzito

One of the Attorneys for Defendants

Dominick L. Lanzito
Peterson, Johnson & Murray LLC
200 West Adams, Suite 2125
Chicago, IL. 60606
(312) 782-7150
dlanzito@pimlaw.com

EXHIBIT 1

BEFORE THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LAKEMOOR POLICE PENSION FUND

)

IN THE MATTER OF THE)

DISABILITY APPLICATION

OF:

BRIANNA TEDESCO,

Applicant.

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had at the Public Hearing of the above-entitled matter, commencing at 1:00 P.M., on March 17, 2021, at before the Board of Trustees of the Lakemoor Police Pension Fund, reported by GLORIA APOSTOLOS SIOLIDIS, CSR License No. 084-001205, duly qualified and commissioned for the State of Illinois.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

WENDELL RUSSELL, President.

KEVIN LYONS, Trustee.

RICKY WESTCOTT, Trustee.

1

```
2
 1
    ATTORNEYS PRESENT:
 2
 3
             PUCHALSKI, GOODLOE, MARZULLO, by
             MS. LAURA GOODLOE
 4
             2100 Sanders Road
             Suite 110
 5
             Northbrook, IL
                              60062
             (847) 666-5680
 6
             info@pgm-law.com
 7
                appeared on behalf of the Board of
                Trustees.
 8
 9
             KARLSON, GARZA, MC QUEARY, LLC, by
             MR. KEITH A. KARLSON
10
             12413 South Harlem Avenue
             Suite 1SE
11
             Palos Heights, IL
                                  60463
             (708)761 - 9030
12
             kkarlson@karlsongarza.com
13
                appeared on behalf of the Applicant.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

```
3
 1
            PRESIDENT RUSSELL: The time is now 1:23 on
 2
    Wednesday, March 17th, 2021. I'm calling this
 3
    meeting to order.
 4
                Any public comment? Is there public
 5
              There is no public comment. I'll accept
 6
    a motion from the Board to appoint a Hearing
 7
    Officer at this time.
 8
           MR. LYONS: I move to appoint Laura Goodloe
 9
    as the Hearing Officer for this hearing.
10
           MR. WESTCOTT: Second.
11
           MS. GOODLOE: Okay. I'm going to do a roll
12
    call.
           Rocky Westcott?
13
           MR. WESTCOTT: Here.
14
           PRESIDENT RUSSELL: Kevin Lyons?
15
           MR. LYONS: Here.
16
           PRESIDENT RUSSELL: Wendell Russell? Here.
17
                It's been voted and it's now affirmed
18
    that Laura will be the Hearing Officer for this
19
    hearing. Laura, you can go ahead and start.
20
           MS. GOODLOE: Thank you, Wendell.
21
                This is a hearing that's being
22
    conducted pursuant to Article 3 of the Illinois
```

```
4
    Pension Code to adjudicate the disability claim of
 1
    Brianna Tedesco, the applicant in this case.
 2
 3
                The applicant was previously notified
 4
    of these proceedings on this date, as well as the
 5
    right to be represented by legal counsel, to
    present evidence, and to respond to any evidence
 6
 7
    before the Lakemoor Police Pension Board.
 8
                Will the applicant and her attorney
    please identify themselves for the record and
 9
10
    acknowledge their willingness to proceed today?
11
           MR. KARLSON: Keith Karlson on behalf of
12
    the applicant. The applicant is also present on
13
    the Zoom call. Yes, we're ready to roll today.
14
                Brianna, do you want to identify
15
    yourself for the record, please?
16
           OFFICER TEDESCO: Brianna Tedesco.
17
    I'm ready.
18
           MR. KARLSON:
                        Okay.
19
           MS. GOODLOE: It should be noted at the
20
    outset that by stipulation, we have agreed to allow
21
   Miss Tedesco and her legal counsel to appear by
22
    Zoom.
          This is, again, based upon stipulation and
```

```
5
 1
    due to the ongoing COVID pandemic.
 2
                They are not to be construed in any
 3
    way, shape or form as not participating or being
 4
    uncooperative in this proceeding.
 5
           MR. KARLSON:
                          Thank you for that.
 6
           MS. GOODLOE:
                          Moving forward.
 7
                The procedures to be utilized in this
 8
    case are as follows:
 9
                Number one. Under the law, the
10
    applicant bears the burden of proving her
11
    entitlement to the disability pension.
                Number two. The Board will read into
12
    the record certain documentation that it intends on
13
14
    introducing into evidence in this proceeding.
15
                Number three.
                               The applicant and her
16
    attorney may then present any objections to the
17
    Pension Board's proposed exhibits.
18
                Number four. The Pension Board, upon
19
    advice of the Hearing Officer, may then rule on
20
    those objections.
21
                Number five.
                              The applicant may then
22
   proceed with entering additional evidence into the
```

```
6
 1
    record and continue with an opening statement,
 2
    followed by witness testimony in support of her
 3
    disability claim.
 4
                Number six. The Board and/or its legal
 5
    counsel may then ask applicant questions, and
 6
    thereafter may be afforded the opportunity to call
 7
    witnesses on its own behalf.
 8
                Number seven. Once again, rulings and
 9
    all legal matters will be made by the Pension Board
10
    President on the advice of the Hearing Officer in
11
    this matter.
12
                Number eight. In the event that this
13
    hearing cannot be completed on this date, the
14
    matter shall be continued from time to time to
15
    dates agreeable to all the parties until it is
16
    completed. If the hearing is continued to a later
17
    date and time, the Board has a right to call any
18
    further witnesses for further testimony.
19
                Number nine. At the conclusion of the
20
    hearing, the applicant's attorney may make a
21
    closing argument.
22
                Number ten. The Board may, pursuant to
```

7 1 either 2(c)(4) or 2(c)(11) of the Open Meetings 2 Act, adjourn into executive session. 3 Number eleven. The Board will render a 4 written decision that will become the final and 5 appealable decision as this disability claim before 6 it. 7 And finally, number 12. Under the 8 Appellate Court case Howe, the decision will be 9 accepted and approved by a formal vote at a 10 subsequent meeting. 11 As a reminder for the Trustees today, 12 during this hearing it's important for all 13 attorneys to keep in mind that an administrative 14 proceeding is not a partisan proceeding with the 15 agency on one side or the applicant on the other. 16 Rather, it should be considered an administrative 17 investigation instituted for the purposes of 18 ascertaining and making findings of fact. 19 The Rules of Evidence are to be relaxed 20 in this setting, with the exception of the Hearsay 21 Rule. But the Rules of Fundamental Fairness shall 22 remain strictly intact.

```
8
 1
                 Furthermore, it shall be noted that all
 2
    Trustees of Article 3 Pension Funds are presumed to
    be objective and capable of fairly judging any
 3
 4
    particular disability claim before it.
 5
                Any Trustee who feels incapable of
 6
    performing his duties in an objective manner today
 7
    should respectfully recuse himself.
 8
                At this time I'd like to insure on the
    record that all the Trustees feel that they can be
 9
    unbiased and partial and capable of fairly judging
10
11
    this case today. Trustee Russell?
12
           PRESIDENT RUSSELL: I do feel that I can be
13
    impartial.
14
           MS. GOODLOE: Trustee Lyons?
15
           MR. LYONS: I feel that I can be impartial.
16
           MS. GOODLOE: And finally, Trustee Westcott?
17
           MR. WESTCOTT: I feel that I can be
18
    impartial.
19
           MS. GOODLOE: Okay. Thank you, gentlemen.
20
                We will now move on to the entry of
21
    evidence into the administrative record.
22
    Previously tendered to counsel for the applicant,
```

```
9
    as well as the Pension Board, were Pension Board
 1
 2
    proposed Exhibits No. 1 through 15. Counsel, do
 3
    you have any objection with respect to the entry of
    those exhibits into the record?
 4
 5
           MR. KARLSON:
                        I do not.
 6
           MS. GOODLOE: Okay.
                                 Therefore, Exhibits
 7
    No. 1 through 15 are therefore entered into the
    administrative record. We also want to make note
 8
    that there would be an additional Pension Board
 9
10
    exhibit labeled Pension Board Exhibit No. 16 which
11
    is reference to a video clip that pertains to the
12
    incident in question which is the 7/26/18 shooting
13
    incident. Previously we discussed this. Attorney
14
    Karlson, I do not believe you have any objection
15
    with respect to this.
16
           MR. KARLSON: I do not.
17
           MS. GOODLOE:
                         Therefore, that video clip is
    being entered as Pension Board Exhibit No. 16.
18
19
                Counsel, would you like to make an
20
    opening statement?
21
           MR. KARLSON:
                         Briefly.
22
           MS. GOODLOE:
                         Certainly.
```

10 1 MR. KARLSON: Thanks everyone for trying to 2 make this work by Zoom. I hope the technology 3 doesn't get in our way of doing the justice everybody here is to do. 4 5 My name is Keith Karlson. It's my 6 pleasure to represent Brianna Tedesco, now Brianna 7 McCarty. She's gotten married since the 8 application was filed. So we're certainly happy to 9 represent her in this process. 10 July 26th, 2018 is a date that I'm sure 11 all of you at that table are familiar with. It was 12 the worse day of Brianna Tedesco's life. She was 13 confronted by a known murderer who tried to kill 14 her. She was saved by a co-worker who was murdered 15 within a foot or two of her. That traumatic 16 incident has forever changed her life. She will 17 never be able to return to work in her chosen dream 18 profession of being a Police Officer because of 19 what happened on July 26th, 2018. 20 Toward that end, I think the evidence 21 overwhelmingly supports that position that 22 July 26th, 2018 changed then Officer Tedesco's life

```
11
    to a point where she will never be able to return
 1
 2
    to work because of it. And as long as we keep our
 3
    eye on that general principle, I think that
 4
    overwhelmingly, at the end of the hearing, the
    evidence demonstrates she's entitled to a
 5
 6
    line-of-duty disability pension; and as such, I
 7
    keep my opening remarks brief in that regard out of
 8
    respect for your time, and that I know that you've
    done the due diligence, reading all the exhibits.
 9
10
                So that's all I have. And I'll ask you
11
    to certainly award a line-of-duty disability
12
    pension at the end of the evidence.
13
           MS. GOODLOE: Thank you, counsel. Now you
14
    can call your first witness.
15
           MR. KARLSON: Sure. The applicant calls
    Brianna McCarty. The court reporter is going to
16
17
    swear you in.
18
           THE COURT REPORTER: Raise your right hand,
19
    please.
20
                     (The oath was thereupon duly
21
                      administered to the witness by
22
                      the Notary.)
```

```
12
 1
                    BRIANNA
                                MC CARTY.
 2
    Called as a witness herein, having been first duly
 3
    sworn, was examined and testified as follows:
            DIRECT EXAMINATION
 4
 5
            By:
                Mr. Karlson
 6
          Brianna, at any point if you can't hear me
 7
    or anything else, just hold your hand up and we'll
 8
    try to get turn our attention.
 9
        A Okay.
10
           Please state and spell your current name
11
    for the record.
12
        A Brianna McCarty. B-r-i-a-n-n-a
13
    M-c-C-a-r-t-y.
14
          And before you were married, what was your
15
    name?
16
           Tedesco, T-e-d-e-s-c-o.
        A
17
           And when did you get married?
18
           In July.
        Α
19
           Okay. Congratulations.
20
                We talked a fair amount about the
21
    thrust of what this is about is July 26th, 2018.
22
    Do you remember that date?
```

			13
1	A	I do.	10
2	Q	On that date were you working as a	
3	full-t	ime member of the Lakemoor Police Department?	
4	A	Yes.	
5	Q	At some point or another did you review a	
6	video	of the incidents that night?	
7	A	I have.	
8	Q	Do you believe that that video accurately	
9	reflec	ts the events of that night?	
10	A	Yes.	
11	Q	That includes body camera footage as well	
12	as foo	tage from your squad car?	
13	A	I have not seen body cam footage, but I can	
14	say th	at the vehicle camera depicted it very well.	
15	Q	Certainly. After that event occurred, at	
16	any po	int did you meet with any when was the	
17	first	time, if you met with any psychotherapists?	
18	A	I met with Dr. Carrie Steiner on August 9th	
19	of tha	t year.	
20	Q	And why did you go to see Dr. Steiner?	
21	A	It was mandated by the Police Department.	
22	Q	Okay. Did you continue to go to therapy or	

14 1 other treatment with Dr. Steiner? 2 I did. A 3 You were given a copy of the records 4 in this case; is that right? 5 A Correct. 6 Is it fair to say that you remember some of 7 the therapy sessions, but not all of them? 8 Α That's correct. 9 Do you have any reason to believe that the 10 dates and activities documented in Dr. Steiner's 11 notes are inaccurate for any reason? 12 Α No. If you could, after July 26th, 2018, after 13 14 that incident, when is the first time, if you had 15 any sort of psychological or mental reactions? 16 It was that night, that Friday morning, I 17 suppose, around 3:00 A.M. or so. I went to use the 18 washroom and I couldn't see behind the shower 19 curtain, which my mind told me there was someone 20 there hiding and waiting. And it was one of my 21 first triggers which caused me to have an anxiety 22 attack.

15 And if you could explain for the benefit of 1 2 the Board, what is your experience of an anxiety 3 attack like? 4 I stop breathing for a second until I can 5 finally catch my breath. My limbs go numb. 6 stomach knots up. My heart races. And when I 7 finally do catch my breath, I'm sobbing. 8 Prior to the July 26th, 2018 incident, had 9 you had any anxiety attacks before that? 10 A No. 11 After that anxiety attack, did you have any 12 other sort of reactions following the July 26th 13 incident? 14 A Yes. It didn't take long for me to realize 15 I could not function in the darkness at night. At 16 night, obviously it's dark, but even in a dark 17 Loud noises would trigger me. Vehicles that 18 were parked in a strange location, a field, so not 19 a parking lot, somewhere where they seemed out of 20 place, that would trigger me. 21 Driving past a Police Officer doing a 22 traffic stop, that would trigger me. Male drivers

16 1 triggered me. 2 When I returned to work, if I tried to 3 do a traffic stop, that would trigger me. Trying 4 to clear a building would trigger me. 5 Any anticipation where I don't know 6 what could happen next. Asking someone for their 7 insurance card and them digging in their glove box 8 would trigger me. 9 Q Okay. So you go through some treatment 10 with Dr. Steiner for a period of time. You 11 remember that? 12 A I do. 13 And while you were going through that, 14 several times she makes notes in her records about 15 you minimizing your symptoms. Could you explain why you believe she may have reached that 16 17 conclusion? 18 A Because it was completely accurate. 19 believe that with law enforcement, you had to be 20 stronger and not let these things hold you down and 21 not let your mental thinking abilities stop you. 22 And I also believe that I had to get back

17 1 to the street because that's who I was, and it was 2 everything I wanted to do, and I believed that it 3 would make me feel whole again and normal. 4 Q And at some point Dr. Steiner did release 5 you to go back to work with some restrictions. Is 6 that fair? 7 A Yes. 8 At some point you did go back out on patrol? 9 A I did. 10 Can you walk through -- at some point or 11 another did you realize that that was not a good 12 idea? 13 On many occasions. 14 Okay. Can you walk, for the Board's 15 benefit, through some of the occasions that led you 16 to believe that perhaps returning to work was not a 17 good idea for you and why? 18 A Well, given that Lakemoor runs on 12-hour 19 shifts, the darkness was unavoidable. So I would 20 find myself parked at a Speedway gas station where 21 it was well lit, almost paralyzed because I was too 22 afraid to move into the darkness.

18 1 I had a traffic stop where a male 2 driver was looking for his insurance in his glove 3 box and I let him go because I couldn't handle not 4 knowing what he might pull out from that glove box. 5 And I believe I noted it as a verbal warning at 6 that point. I didn't even conclude the traffic 7 stop in any professional kind of way. 8 There was a female that had an 9 overdose, and that's when I learned that an 10 unconscious body was a trigger for me. The last 11 body that I had seen tried to kill me, and that's 12 what I anticipated her doing. And I administered 13 my Narcan incorrectly because I was so the 14 flustered thinking she's going to wake up and choke 15 me. 16 Trying to clear a building, I just 17 didn't function well. 18 What does clearing a building mean, just so 19 we can make it clear for the record? 20 A Making sure that there's no suspects inside. 21 And so were you called upon to do that at 22 some point?

19 1 Yes. A 2 And can you explain to the Board what happened during that process? 3 4 It was just very difficult for me to get 5 through. My partner wasn't immediately next to me. 6 So being alone is also a trigger. And I just moved 7 a lot slower. I was shaking the whole time. I don't know what the outcome would have been, had I 9 run into a suspect. 10 Q Are there any other specific examples you can think of, of times where -- well, actually, 11 while you were doing that search of the building 12 13 and trying to clear it, what sort of symptoms did 14 you experience? 15 A My stomach was knotted. I felt like I 16 couldn't breathe. My heart was racing. shaking, which made just all of my limbs feel 17 18 extremely weak. My mind was racing, wondering what 19 I'm going to face. 20 At any of these times did you feel like you 21 were putting either a member of the public, yourself 22 or a co-worker at risk?

20 1 Absolutely. A 2 Can you explain how? 3 So specifically with the overdose, you 4 know, she had passed around Midnight, and we got 5 the call around 1:00 P.M. So the Narcan would not 6 have saved her life, but had she been freshly 7 overdosed, it could have saved her life. I could 8 have saved her life. And because I administered 9 the Narcan wrong, it could have led to her death. 10 In the case with the traffic stop, I 11 let that person go before I ran his information, 12 and he could have very well been a murderer, just 13 like in July. 14 If I'm not able to respond 15 appropriately or keep my body in control and my 16 mind in control during all these activities, that 17 certainly puts my partners at risk, because then I 18 can't do what I need to do for them and for the 19 community. 20 At what point do you believe you learned 21 that it was no longer safe for you to try to work 22 as a Lakemoor Police Officer?

21 1 I can't put a date to it. It was very 2 early when I returned to work. 3 So at some point or another, you came off 4 the street; is that right? 5 Correct. A And how did that come about? 6 7 In September, I think it was September 22nd 8 or so, we had a debriefing for the shooting, and at 9 that point my doctor, Carrie Steiner, had realized 10 that I maybe was not ready to return to the street, 11 and so at that time she decided to put me back on 12 light duty. 13 Okay. And then you returned to light duty 14 for a while? 15 Correct. A 16 Okay. At some point or another you stopped 17 being treated by Dr. Steiner? 18 A That's correct. 19 And then was there a process by which you 20 started being treated by somebody else? 21 I had been looking for some kind of Yes. 22 help, which led me to a McHenry County Lieutenant

			22
1	who ha	d been in shootings who I reached out to, to	
2	kind o	of understand what was happening with my body,	
3	and he	referred me to Dr. Robin Kroll.	
4	Q	And her records are also in the record for	
5	the Bo	ard's notes.	
6		So you began treatment with Dr. Kroll?	
7	A	Correct.	
8	Q	And do you continue to treat with Dr. Kroll	
9	today?		
10	A	I do.	
11	Q	What is the nature of your treatment with	
12	Dr. Kr	oll?	
13	A	It's PTSD related.	
14	Q	And is it psychotherapy?	
15	A	Yes. We do a lot of EMDR and relaxation	
16	techni	ques.	
17	Q	Can you explain to the Board, what is EMDR?	
18	Becaus	e we see it a lot in the medical records.	
19	A	It's eye motion desensitization. So you	
20	can ei	ther watch a dot move back and forth while	
21	you ha	ve zappers in your hand that will rotate	
22	zappin	g in your hand, not zapping, but vibrating in	

		23
1	your hand. Or there's goggles that do the same	
2	thing with light, rotating from eye to eye. And	
3	you process. We process the shooting a lot. You	
4	break down an incident to try to understand it	
5	better.	
6	Q Okay. Has that helped you?	
7	A It has.	
8	Q Okay. Now, going through, you've discussed	
9	the word triggers. For the benefit of the Board,	
10	when you use the word trigger, what does that mean?	
11	A That means something or someone, an	
12	incident, anything that would provoke a response in	
13	my body.	
14	Q Would the word stimulus be comparable?	
15	A Yes.	
16	Q Okay. And then when a trigger occurs, what	
17	happens?	
18	A Well, my triggers differ in response and	
19	how intense they are.	
20	At the least, my breathing is affected.	
21	At the most, I'm having a panic attack.	
22	Q A panic attack and an anxiety attack are	

24 1 the same thing? 2 Or an anxiety attack, yeah. 3 Sure. Go ahead. I'm sorry to interrupt 0 4 you. 5 But they are most like when I explained 6 when I was trying to clear the building. My limbs 7 can go weak. My breathing is always in some form 8 of way affected. I typically find myself holding 9 my breath a lot until I can finally catch my 10 I shake sometimes. My stomach will get 11 knotted. My limbs will go weak. And again, my 12 mind just races, and it's just, it feels out of 13 control of your body. Not every time you receive a trigger or 14 some sort of stimulus does it result in a panic 15 16 attack, right? 17 A Correct. 18 How often today do you still experience 19 anxiety or panic attacks? 20 Every day. I experience anxiety every day. 21 Panic attacks, they are not every day. depends on what I expose myself to. 22

25 O So how frequently would you say you have an 1 2 anxiety attack, though? Is it weekly, is it every 3 day, is it monthly? 4 A It could be weekly. 5 Okay. One term that came up in some of the 6 medical records is a night terror. Are you 7 familiar with that term? 8 A Yes. What's a night terror? 9 10 A When I have a night terror, I'm having some 11 sort of nightmare. I'm in danger in some sort of 12 It's typically a shooting or something where someone is trying to kill me, or I will die at the 13 14 end of this nightmare. 15 So what happens with me is I'll wake up 16 drenched in sweat because my body is trying to 17 fight that nightmare, and I will wake up out of breath. It's almost like I don't know -- the 18 19 moment I wake up, I don't know where I am until I 20 realize that I'm at home, or wherever I am. 21 Had you had any night terrors of that 22 extent prior to July 26th of 2018?

		26
1	A No.	
2	Q After July 26th, 2018, when was the first	
3	time you had a night terror?	
4	A I want to say it was within the first week.	
5	I wasn't able to sleep immediately following the	
6	shooting. But I know every time I closed my eyes,	
7	I replayed the shooting. And so that just kind of	
8	naturally happened when I did fall asleep.	
9	Q How often do you have night terrors now?	
10	A About once a week.	
11	Q Okay. You said that when you would close	
12	your eyes, even when you're awake, sometimes you	
13	would see the incident kind of replay. Have you	
14	learned any term to associate with that sort of	
15	experience?	
16	A Flashbacks.	
17	Q Okay. And so when you have a flashback, if	
18	you could explain for the benefit of the Board,	
19	what sort of experience is that? What happens?	
20	A For me, there's a few specific moments from	
21	the shooting that replay non-stop.	
22	The moment he opened his eyes and I saw	

		27
1	that he had no soul, that replays a lot. And the	
2	moment that the gun came to my forehead, that	
3	replays a lot. That one is the most frequent.	
4	Q When you say you saw his eyes and he had no	
5	soul, explain to the Board what you mean by that.	
6	A They were empty. You could see through	
7	them. There was nothing there, there was no life	
8	there. I don't know how else to explain that.	
9	Q Certainly. How often do you experience	
10	flashbacks today?	
11	A At a minimum, five times a week. But when	
12	I say five times a week, that's just the days. It	
13	could be multiple times within that day.	
14	Q Is it certain things that you see, or	
15	triggers that cause the flashback, or it just	
16	happens?	
17	A It just happens.	
18	Q And did that begin almost immediately after	
19	the shooting?	
20	A It did, that night.	
21	Q We talked about some of your triggers so	
22	far. What are they, in general, that seem to	

28 trigger these -- not necessarily the flashbacks, 1 2 but the various sort of physiological and other symptoms that you have? Are there other specific 3 4 triggers or general triggers that seem to start it? Loud noises. Vehicles that are out of 5 6 place. Being alone is a trigger for me. 7 nighttime is a big trigger for me. Like I said, 8 seeing a police vehicle or a Police Officer engaged in an official duty, that's a trigger. 10 someone that looks like Kenneth Martel, that will 11 be a trigger. Someone's eyes can be a trigger for 12 me. Let's go with darkness. How is your life 13 different now because of the darkness trigger? 14 15 Unless I'm with my husband or a friend maybe comes to pick me up, I don't go out at night. 16 17 I won't go in my basement at night. My blinds have 18 to be closed at night. My alarm has to be on. My 19 doors obviously have to be locked. My camera has 20 to be fully charged and ready to go every night. 21 It's just a lot of avoidance with that trigger. 22 Okay. If you could also go through, how

about being alone, that trigger? Can you talk about how that impacts your life?

A Yeah. So when I'm alone, it's much like when I was that night. Obviously, I would like to believe that at some point I would have taken the appropriate action, but I did have to rely on Anthony that night. And so that's kind of where that resulted.

Can I do what's necessary when I'm alone? And I also feel just more vulnerable when I'm alone, and I feel like people outside of my home know that, and it makes me more vulnerable for an attack when I'm alone.

Q Okay. So between darkness and being alone being things that obviously cause problems for you and are triggers, are there any sort of -- if you could explain for the benefit of the Board some of the means by which you avoid those?

A Well, I don't go out at night, again, unless I'm with my husband. I will avoid certain parts of my house when I'm alone. I have to sleep with lights on.

30 1 What about is there anything you have to do to make sure that you can hear something or 2 3 anything like that? 4 A Yeah. If I'm home alone, I won't have 5 headphones in or blow dry my hair or vacuum or do 6 any kind of loud noises that will distract me from 7 hearing if someone is entering my home. 8 In addition, you said that loud noises in 9 and of themselves are a trigger. Are they sudden loud noises, or does the vacuum do the job? 10 11 A No, there's sudden loud noises. 12 If you could explain examples of that? 13 So I was redoing my floors and I was 14 removing quarter round, my husband was. We were 15 doing it together. And he started to peel back the 16 quarter round, and I could see that he was losing 17 grip of it and it snapped, which led me to have a 18 full-blown anxiety attack. That noise just 19 triggered me. The fireworks on 4th of July trigger 20 me. What do you do on 4th of July? 21 22 I sit at home and I have my range

		31
1	headphones on.	
2	Q The ones you would wear when you would go	
3	shooting, for ear protection?	
4	A Correct.	
5	Q How are you in dealing with crowds nowadays?	
6	A With concerts and bigger crowds like that,	
7	if I can avoid them, I will. Like they are not	
8	enjoyable for me.	
9	Q You can go out to a restaurant though?	
10	You're not saying you can't do that, right?	
11	A Right.	
12	Q Just to make clear for the record, you and	
13	I are not in the same room; is that correct?	
14	A That's correct.	
15	Q And you have no notes or anything in front	
16	of you; is that correct?	
17	A That's correct.	
18	Q And if the Board wants you to, you'll move	
19	your camera around and show you've got no notes	
20	around you, you're not reading from anything?	
21	A I'm not.	
22	MR. KARLSON: All right. Just so you guys	

```
32
 1
    can take a record.
 2
    BY MR. KARLSON:
 3
          Okay. At some point or another, do you
    believe you can be a Police Officer in full and
 4
    unrestricted duty today?
 5
        A I don't.
 6
 7
          Can you explain to the Board why not?
8
          With my triggers, I don't know that I could
9
    safely be a Police Officer, and effectively. I
    feel like I would put my partners at risk, and I
10
11
    would put the community at risk.
          You submitted an application that's
12
    included in the packet as Exhibit 1; is that right?
13
14
        A Yes.
          And when you completed that, you believed
15
    it was true and accurate, to the best of your
16
17
    ability?
18
        A Yes.
           Currently, are you going to school or doing
19
20
    anything?
          I am in school.
21
          And what are you going to school to do?
22
```

	33
A Psychology.	
Q And why did you choose that?	
A Given the path that my life has taken, I	
feel that I could help other people.	
Q All right, Brianna, I don't have any other	
questions for you. Ms. Goodloe and the Board may	
ask you some more questions now, okay?	
A Okay.	
MS. GOODLOE: Thank you, counsel. I'll get	
the ball rolling.	
CROSS EXAMINATION	
By: Ms. Goodloe	
Q I only have a couple questions, Brianna.	
And I apologize; I have had your case so long, I	
continue to call you Tedesco. It's just a simple	
mistake.	
None of the questions I'm going to be	
asking you are trick questions. I'm not the dragon	
lady. I just need to get a full record, especially	
with some background questions. Okay?	
A Okay.	
Q Your current age is 29; is that correct?	
	Q And why did you choose that? A Given the path that my life has taken, I feel that I could help other people. Q All right, Brianna, I don't have any other questions for you. Ms. Goodloe and the Board may ask you some more questions now, okay? A Okay. MS. GOODLOE: Thank you, counsel. I'll get the ball rolling. CROSS EXAMINATION By: Ms. Goodloe Q I only have a couple questions, Brianna. And I apologize; I have had your case so long, I continue to call you Tedesco. It's just a simple mistake. None of the questions I'm going to be asking you are trick questions. I'm not the dragon lady. I just need to get a full record, especially with some background questions. Okay? A Okay.

34 That's correct. 1 2 And your rank when you were serving with 3 the Lakemoor Police Department, was that a Patrol 4 Officer; is that correct? 5 That's correct. And you indicated that you got married in 6 7 July of 2020. You do not have any prior marriages, 8 correct? 9 A Correct. And do you have any dependent children or 10 11 parents? 12 I don't have any children. Okav. And with respect to your employment 13 history, on your disability application, I just 14 want to clarify something. You noted that your 15 date of probationary employment was June 18th of 16 2018, but then you noted your date of regular 17 employment was June 15th of 2017, which is obviously 18 a year before. Did you maybe accidentally 19 20 transpose those two? I put the date that I started part-time. 21 22 That would be the 2017 date.

		35
1	Q Okay. And so then your initial full-time	
2	date was June 18th of 2018?	
3	A I would assume so. I don't recall what	
4	date I started full-time.	
5	Q Okay. And when you began full-time, did	
6	you undergo a fitness-for-duty evaluation?	
7	A No.	
8	Q So you weren't required to take any	
9	pre-physical fitness exam?	
10	A To become a Police Officer I was, not for	
11	the Village of Lakemoor.	
12	Q And from the time you were full-time	
13	appointed as a Patrol Officer up through the date	
14	of incident, which was July 26th of 2018, do you	
15	recall having any large gaps in service time where	
16	you had a suspension, or you had to take a medical	
17	leave of any sort?	
18	A I had no suspension or medical from when	
19	I started Lakemoor?	
20	Q Correct.	
21	A I had six weeks off for a car accident.	
22	Q And when about was that?	

36 That was April 21st of '18. 1 2 Okay. And then you returned to full duty 3 after that, correct? 4 A Correct. And you have never been in the military; is 5 6 that correct? 7 That's correct. Α And your current employment status, I 8 believe there's evidence in the record to suggest 9 that you were terminated in August of 2019; is that 10 11 correct? 12 That's correct. Α Just so I can get kind of a broad stroke 1.3 view of what transpired, can you tell me from the 14 15 date of the shooting, which again was July 26th of 2018, through August of 2019, what your employment 16 17 status was? I believe you testified earlier that 18 you went onto light duty for a period of time. If 19 20 you can try to give me kind of recap, from July 18th to August of 2019, what you were doing 21 22 with the Lakemoor Police Department in terms of

37 1 your employment status? 2 A My employment status the entire time there 3 was full-time Patrol Officer. I was on light 4 duty -- I was on administrative leave for I believe 5 six weeks immediately following the shooting. 6 returned to work in the beginning of September or 7 end of August. I don't remember the date. 8 September I went to light duty. At some point I 9 tried to return to day shift, and then returned to 10 light duty after that, and remained on light duty 11 until August of 2019. 12 And are you aware of any full-time light duty positions within the Lakemoor Police 13 14 Department? 15 A Currently, no. And were you ever offered a full-time light 16 17 duty position with Lakemoor? 18 A I was never offered, no. 19 And do you know what your salary was as of 20 your date of termination? 21 I believe it was around 60. We can definitely obviously look for that. 22

		38
1	It might be in the record already.	
2	And have you filed a Workers'	
3	Compensation claim with respect to the July, 2018	
4	incident?	
5	A I have.	
6	Q And what's the status of that?	
7	A Pending.	
8	Q And you indicated on your application that	
9	you never received PEDA, Public Employee Disability	
10	Act benefits; is that correct?	
11	A That is not. At the time of my application	
12	I was light duty. When I got terminated I was put	
13	then onto PEDA.	
14	Q And did those benefits expire around August	
15	of 2020?	
16	A Correct.	
17	Q And have you been receiving TTD benefits,	
18	or temporary total disability pay, after that?	
19	A I have.	
20	Q And so you currently are receiving that	
21	66 2/3 percent of your salary still?	
22	A Correct.	

```
39
           And I believe the record will indicate that
 1
 2
    you did work for the Darien Police Department for a
 3
    short period of time?
 4
           That's correct.
 5
           And that was for less than two years, correct?
 6
          Correct.
        A
           Was there any other outside employment
 7
    prior to your tenure as a Lakemoor Police Officer?
 8
 9
           I've had jobs before Lakemoor and Darien,
10
   yes.
           Any related to a police force?
11
12
           I initially got hired and sponsored through
    the Police Academy through the South Holland Police
13
    Department. When I graduated the academy, I
14
15
    transferred to Darien.
          And with respect to your disability
16
    application, I just want to confirm for the record
17
    that your date of application is April 15th of
18
    2019; is that correct?
19
20
          Yes.
        Α
           And that you are requesting a line-of-duty,
21
    or in the alternative, a non-duty disability pension?
22
```

40 1 Correct. And you can confer with your legal counsel. 2 3 If you were to be awarded a disability pension today, what would the effective date of that 4 5 pension be if you had to choose? 6 I would say --A 7 MR. KARLSON: It would probably be -- we're willing to wait until TTD is running out, I mean if 8 it's 100 percent offset anyway, setoff. But I can 9 certainly work with the Board on that. 10 11 MS. GOODLOE: Okay, great. MR. KARLSON: And also, toward the end, if 12 it helps, Laura, we're willing to stipulate, should 1.3 you want to amend the record to add as Exhibit 17 14 15 the Collective Bargaining Agreement in effect during that time that she was a member of the MAP 16 chapter there, and that the Collective Bargaining 17 Agreement would have covered her compensation 18 during the relevant period of time. 19 MS. GOODLOE: Yes, I have no objection to 20 We'll enter that into the record just to 21 make sure we have salary information, whatnot. 22

41 BY MS. GOODLOE: 1 2 And you have no prior medical history for 3 the psychological condition; is that correct? 4 That's correct. And obviously I've seen all the video 5 footage and reviewed all the documentation that 6 7 goes over the 7/26/18 incident, but I think it would be suitable for the Board to kind of hear from your standpoint what occurred that day and get an idea as to what was going through your mind on 10 11 the date of incident. 12 I was working the overnight shift on 13 patrol, trying to complete my subdivision checks, 14 when I saw a vehicle backed into that gravel road 15 up to the gate. It had no front license plate, so 16 I called out the location and the description of 17 the vehicle, and that it was occupied by one male who was sleeping at the time I approached the 18 19 vehicle. My flashlight had woken him up. 20 He rolled down his window about halfway 21 We began speaking. He had liquor in the 22 front seat, a case of beer in the back seat, or

42 1 vice versa. And he was telling me that he was 2 traveling to visit family. Like I stated earlier, immediately I 3 4 saw something wrong with his eyes, though I gave my partner, Anthony Loiacono, a better description of 5 6 where I was, because I wasn't sure that dispatch 7 had properly marked it or understood where I was, given that it was an unmarked gravel road. I asked him for his name. He told me 9 James Duncan and gave me his real date of birth. 10 11 I then walked to the back of his vehicle to get his license plate, which was from 12 I wrote it down in my notebook 13 Pennsylvania. because he was watching me the whole time through 14 15 the side mirrors, and something told me there 16 wasn't something right with him. And so I returned to the vehicle. 17 ran his name that he gave me. Dispatch advised me 18 19 nothing was coming back. 20 I asked him if he had anything in his vehicle that would identify who he was. 21 him for a registration, something with his name on 22

43 1 So he started digging through the glove box 2 and he handed out, it looked like some legal 3 document. I don't know what it was at that point. 4 While I was looking at that paper that 5 he handed me, he was digging through the door, the 6 packet in the door. And I heard clinking and I 7 looked up and there was a revolver to my forehead. 8 I screamed and I grabbed the gun and I tried to shove it back into the vehicle as much as 9 10 I could, and we fought for the gun. He was pulling 11 the trigger the entire time. 12 I looked at him and I said, "I'll 13 He said, "Okay. Let go of the gun." 14 I told him I couldn't, and we continued to fight 15 for the gun. And that's when Anthony arrived. As Anthony was walking up, he pulled out a second 16 17 revolver from the door. And that's when Anthony 18 shot him. Thank you. And I know that's difficult, 19 20 and I sincerely appreciate you being forthcoming 21 with respect to that incident. In terms of the medical treatment you 22

44 received in response, we obviously have Dr. Carrie 1 2 Steiner, as well as Dr. Kroll. You received 3 earlier that you continue to see Dr. Kroll. How often are you seeking treatment from Dr. Kroll? 4 5 A Right now it's once a week, and I am involved in a trauma track once a week. So right 6 7 now it's twice a week. Are you seeking treatment from anybody 8 else, aside from Dr. Kroll and what you just 9 testified to? 10 11 A No. And have you ever sought treatment from a 12 psychiatrist with respect to the condition that 13 you're suffering from? 14 15 Α I have. You have or have not? 16 17 I have. A And who was that? 18 19 His name is Raphael. I don't remember his 20 last name. I saw him I think twice. 21 And around what time period was that? 22 A Last year.

		45
1	Q And did he prescribe you any sort of	
2	medications?	
3	A He did. It started with a D. I don't	
4	remember. I'm not very good with prescription	
5	names. That's something I can certainly provide to	
6	Keith, but I don't remember the name off the top of	
7	my head right now.	
8	Q And you testified earlier that you continue	
9	to suffer, obviously, from panic attacks, anxiety.	
10	Are you on any medication for those conditions?	
11	A Currently, no, because I am pregnant.	
12	Q Oh, okay.	
13	And I would assume that you went off of	
14	those when you became pregnant?	
15	A That's correct.	
16	Q Okay. And due to your pregnancy, are you	
17	on anything that would treat depression or any of	
18	the other psychological conditions you filed	
19	disability for?	
20	A I am not.	
21	Q And you testified that you're currently	
22	going to school to become a psychologist. Are you	

46 employed in any other capacity right now? 1 2 I am not employed. Okay. And do you still continue to carry a 3 weapon and shoot a weapon ever? 4 I have shot my firearm several times since 5 I have one with the incident. I do not carry one. 6 7 me in my home, but I do not carry one outside of my 8 home. And so have you gone to a gun range with it? 9 10 Α I have. And does that impact you in any way? 11 It does when there are other people in the 12 13 range next to me. And you did testify earlier that you feel 14 like some of your symptoms are getting a little 15 better. I mean overall, you testified that you 16 have flashbacks, panic attacks, anxiety, there's a 17 lot of nightmares and triggers. I believe you 18 testified that your anxiety seems to be getting a 19 little better. What about with respect to the 20 other claimed conditions such as flashbacks and 21 nightmares; is there any sort of positive recovery 22

47 in that front? 1 2 A I don't know that I would say my anxiety 3 has gotten better. I don't remember saying that. 4 When I say that my symptoms have gotten better, I 5 mean the frequency has gone down. It used to be 6 every day; now it's maybe five, six times a week. 7 Q Okay. And you can confirm for the record that you attended psychological testing before Dr. 8 Fletcher, as well as Independent Medical 9 Evaluations before Drs. Dinwiddie, Conroe and 10 11 Obolsky; is that correct? 12 A Yes. 13 And with respect to Dr. Dinwiddie's IME, he had indicated that there's some sort of work 14 15 relationship issues that had been going on between yourself and the Village of Lakemoor. Can you 16 please expound on that note? 17 18 A My relationships with my co-workers have no 19 bearing on my PTSD. 20 So you disagree with Dr. Dinwiddie's Okay. 21 opinion as to any sort of connection between the 22 conditions that you've applied for and them being

		48
1	related in any way, shape or form to your work	
2	environment in relationship to your co-workers?	
3	A Yes. My relationship with co-workers does	
4	not have any effect on the PTSD as a result of the	
5	July shooting.	
6	Q Okay, fair enough.	
7	You know, with respect to our	
8	obligations under the Pension Code, pursuant to	
9	Section 115, if we were to adjudicate this	
10	disability claim favorably, you would be subject to	
11	annual reevaluations for purposes of verifying the	
12	continuance of your disability. Do you agree to	
13	cooperate with the Pension Board up until age 50	
14	with respect to going through the same?	
15	A I do.	
16	Q And just so you know, I just want to put on	
17	the record right now, we do have an administrative	
18	rule that if you were to move out-of-state, you may	
19	be required to come back into the State of Illinois	
20	for those evaluations. Do you understand that?	
21	A I understand.	
22	MS. GOODLOE: Okay. I have no further	

		49
1	questions. I'd like to open up the floor to the	
2	Pension Board Trustees. Perhaps Board President	
3	Russell can begin.	
4	EXAMINATION	
5	By: President Russell	1
6	Q Good afternoon, Brianna. First of all,	
7	congratulations on your wedding nuptials.	
8	I just wanted to know if you needed a	
9	few more minutes so we can ask some questions, or	
10	did you want to continue at this point? We can	
11	take a five, ten-minute break to give you a little	
12	time. Or do you want to proceed?	
13	A I would like to continue.	
14	Q Okay. I just have a few questions, and I'm	
15	going to try to be brief, regarding your triggers	
16	and flashbacks.	
17	Right after this incident on 7/26 '18,	
18	did you attend the Lakemoor Fest?	
19	A I did.	
20	Q Were you there for the fireworks as well?	
21	A I was, and they triggered me.	
22	If you can recall, Resident Missy, I	

		50
1	don't remember her last name, she's married to	
2	Brian who owns the gun shop?	
3	Q Right.	
4	A She had to walk me out where I collapsed on	
5	the street because of the fireworks.	
6	Q I'm sorry, I didn't see that. That's why	
7	I'm asking. I just wanted to get a little bit more	
8	information.	
9	And talking more about the triggers and	
10	flashbacks. At the awards presentation in	
11	Pennsylvania, the one you attended, do you remember	
12	that one?	
13	A I do.	
L4	Q I know that at that awards banquet because	
15	it was in Pennsylvania, you guys had separate	
16	rooms. How was it sleeping by yourself in that	
17	room that night when you were there?	
18	A I didn't, really. I kept the TV on and the	
19	lights on. And I didn't receive much sleep that	
20	trying.	
21	Q Okay. On that same year, we'll go 2019,	
22	did you attend any of the St. Patrick's Day	

51 celebrations in Chicago overnight? 1 A Overnight? No. I went to the river dye 2 one year, but I never did anything overnight. 3 What about any 4th of July celebrations 4 since the 2018 incident? 5 A There was that next summer, Mike McCarty 6 7 tried to take me on the boat for McHenry's 8 fireworks, which was unsuccessful. Okay. And right now your husband works 9 10 midnights? 11 Correct. And how is it your being alone at night by 12 yourself? Are you having issues with that? 13 Again, I have to make sure my cameras are 14 15 on, my alarms are set. I sleep with the lights on. I sleep with the TV on but no noise, because it 16 will distract me if I hear someone trying to intrude. 17 18 My sleep is very choppy. I can't sleep with my back to my bedroom door because I have to 19 20 see, if that light breaks, I have to see if someone is coming through that doorway. My blinds have to 21 22 be closed. It's just like a state of paranoia.

		52	2
1	Q	Do you guys currently have any animals or	
2	any do	gs?	
3	A	We have two dogs.	
4	Q	Okay. So do the dogs sleep in your room	
5	with you?		
6	A	My dogs do sleep with me.	
7	Q	So they would obviously let you know if	
8	someone was coming in the house?		
9	A	Right.	
10	Q	The driving at night, do you currently	
11	drive	at night by yourself, or you just wait for	
12	your husband to come and take you places?		
13	A	I will wait for my husband.	
14		I think there has been two or three	
15	incide	nts since the shooting where I just have been	
16	caught	in a situation where I had to drive at	
17	night,	and it is extremely difficult for me to do.	
18	I have	to drive with my dome lights on, and I have	
19	to hav	e someone on the phone with me the entire	
20	drive.		
21		And that heightened anxiety that that	
22	puts m	y body in, my body will stay that heightened	

53 for two to three days after I drive at night, which 1 2 is another reason I won't do it. 3 Do you think that the fact of -- and congratulations on the upcoming baby, but do you 4 5 think the fact that you're not taking any of your medicines at this time contributes to the worsening 6 7 of your condition? 8 I'm sorry, I didn't hear you. 9 I said do you think that not taking any medications at this time contributes to the 10 11 worsening of your condition? 12 A Well, they are not worsening. Do I think 13 that the medications would help? Absolutely. 14 Which is why I went on them the first time, and I 15 intend to return to them when I am no longer 16 carrying a child. But it's not something that I'm 17 willing to subject my child to right now, because I 18 do have the choice to avoid a lot of things and 19 stay home. Q Okay. And I get it. I truly get it, and I 20 understand that. Thank you very much for answering 21 those questions. I have nothing else at this time. 22

```
54
          MS. GOODLOE: Trustee Lyons, any questions?
1
          MR. LYONS: I just have a question about
2
   the -- we have three different doctor's opinions.
3
   One of them stated the diagnosis is not PTSD, other
4
   specified trauma or stress-related disorder.
5
    read the definition of that, and I guess I'm just
6
   wondering what the actual diagnosis is then?
7
           MS. GOODLOE: That is the actual diagnosis?
8
           MR. LYONS: That is the actual diagnosis?
9
10
          MS. GOODLOE: Yes.
          MR. LYONS: Based on his opinion?
11
           MS. GOODLOE: Yes. That's from my reading.
12
    And we can talk further, but that's his diagnosis.
13
    It's separate from full-fledged PTSD.
14
15
           MR. LYONS: Okay.
           MS. GOODLOE: Any questions for Ms. McCarty?
16
           MR. LYONS: No, thank you.
17
           MS. GOODLOE: Trustee Westcott, any
18
    questions?
19
           MR. WESTCOTT:
                         No questions for me.
20
                Although I would like to wish Brianna
21
    congratulations on her marriage. And I know you're
22
```

```
55
1
   going to be a great mom.
                And just going through your notes and
2
   everything, you say that you didn't feel worthy,
3
    that you didn't have any support from this
4
    department. Well, all I have to say is that you
5
   are one of the bravest people I've ever known.
6
7
                Keep your head up, enjoy life, and
    congratulations on your marriage, Brianna.
8
           MS. MC CARTY: Thank you.
9
           MS. GOODLOE: Thank you. Any redirect,
10
11
    Keith?
           MR. KARLSON: Yes, a little bit.
12
                REDIRECT EXAMINATION
13
                By: Mr. Karlson
14
          You know, I think President Russell had
15
    asked you some questions about the impact of your
16
17
    pregnancy on taking the drugs and everything else.
    Has any doctor told you that the medication will
18
    put you in a position to return to work any time
19
20
    soon?
21
        A
          No.
           With regard to the pregnancy, since it's
22
```

		56
1	been brought up, how do you feel that the pregnancy	
2	has impacted the manner by which you perceive your	
3	disease and how you deal with it?	
4	A It has kind of given me a new purpose. It	
5	was obviously never my hope to stop being law	
6	enforcement. And so this is just kind of teaching	
7	me that there is life outside of that.	
8	Q Counsel for the Board had asked you a	
9	question about whether or not you had any	
10	pre-existing mental conditions. Do you remember	
11	talking about that?	
12	A Yes.	
13	Q The records indicate you had some	
14	psychological trauma following the car wreck. Did	
15	you mean prior to the car wreck, you had no	
16	pre-existing conditions?	
17	A Oh, yeah.	
18	Q I just want to make clear, you're not	
19	trying to mislead anybody?	
20	A No, no, no.	
21	Q If you could talk about, to the extent you	
22	had anxiety or any other mental condition following	

57 the car wreck before the shooting, how were those 1 2 symptoms different than what you experienced after 3 July 26th, 2018? 4 They were mild. They mostly involved the back seat of my squad car with fear of being 5 rear-ended. But it's nothing to the extreme that 6 7 the July incident had put me in. It was just 8 something that I was very conscious of when I got 9 in my squad car. Is driving at night a requirement for a 10 Lakemoor Police Officer? 11 12 Yes. And in fact, at any point did you ever 13 request to work just during the day? 14 15 Α I did. And was that allowed? 16 17 It was not. I was on light duty for a short period of time, but was told that that was 18 temporary and would not be allowed to continue. 19 You were asked about -- just to help the 20 court reporter, can you spell Anthony's last name? 21 22 It's not an easy one.

		58
-	a Dunkana as the members of the Inkomeer	30
1	A Perhaps one of the members of the Lakemoor	
2	Police Department who have quick access to that	
3	information could do it, because I don't know that	
4	I could any more.	
5	Q Fair enough.	
6	PRESIDENT RUSSELL: It's L-o-i-a-c-o-n-o.	
7	MR. KARLSON: That's all I have. Thanks.	
8	MS. GOODLOE: Any additional witnesses,	
9	Mr. Karlson?	
10	MR. KARLSON: I have none.	
11	MS. GOODLOE: I don't believe the Pension	
12	Board has any witnesses at this time.	
13	At this time you have the opportunity	
14	to make a closing argument, if you so choose.	
15	MR. KARLSON: Certainly.	
16	Again, thank you all for taking this as	
17	seriously as you have, and reviewing the records,	
18	and listening to our perspective on this matter.	
19	It seems that all of the doctors who	
20	have offered opinions in this case have agreed that	
21	Brianna is unable to serve as a Police Officer.	
22	I think it's uncontested that she was a	

59 Police Officer on the date this occurred, and she 1 was still employed as a Police Officer on the date 2 3 that she applied for a disability application. So just with the last answers, was she 4 engaged in -- or one of the next questions would be 5 was she engaged in an act of duty. I know that 6 7 that's a heavily-litigated subject. 8 I don't think that this is at all a question that a Police Officer who responds to a 9 10 suspicious situation and ends up in a wrestling 11 match over a gun where the offender tries to patently murder her is something that's not an act 12 of duty that involves special risk not normally 13 encountered by an ordinary citizen. 14 So it leads us to a causation issue. 15 It is our position, and I know Laura, we talk at 16 the same conferences, so I would say that the 17 causation issue on psychiatric cases is an 18 interesting, developing area of the law, shall we 19 20 say. It is certainly our position that we 21 believe you should adopt the Sardo reasoning out of 22

60 the First District which also seems to be quite in 1 line with what the Statute says. 2 There is no causation distinction in 3 the Statute between physical and mental disabilities. 4 As such, we believe they should be treated the 5 So the question then becomes is the July 26th, 6 2018 standard a contributing factor to the 7 disability? And I think that's undeniable. 8 I think as we sit here today, even if 9 the Board were to adopt the largely abandoned 10 but-for causation standard, no one in the room I 11 don't think with good conscious could conclude that 12 if she wasn't in that wrestling match where a man 13 tried to kill her with two different guns, pulled 14 the trigger multiple times. And if you've ever 15 seen that video, it's horrifying. 16 I had the privilege of representing her 17 throughout the shooting process. This is the first 18 applicant case I've done in many years. 19 The fact is here that I think you're in 20 a position to help somebody who really needs it, 21 and I think you're in a position to do the right 22

61 1 thing and follow the law. 2 I believe that there is no evidence, 3 even Dr. Dinwiddie's -- Trustee Lyons, you're not alone in trying to discern what his opinion is, 4 5 because he doesn't clearly answer it. 6 believe it's certainly the case that she's not able 7 to go back to work. 8 There's no contrary evidence saying 9 that there's a full-time day position available. 10 The evidence seems to conclusively establish that 11 that's not an option. 12 So if driving at night is required, which it is, even Dr. Dinwiddie agrees that she's 13 14 not fit for that. 15 So she's clearly disabled. So then the question is, there is no evidence demonstrating 16 that but for the July 26th, 2018 shooting incident, 17 18 she wouldn't still be working. That's the reality 19 of the situation. And due to the overwhelming and 20 unanimous evidence in that regard, it's certainly our position that you should award of line-of-duty 21 22 disability benefit.

62 And due to the Workers' Comp issue, 1 2 Laura, I'm certainly willing to work with you after 3 this to work on an effective date. Certainly I have no problem if the 4 5 check keeps coming from TTD for a while and saves the Pension Fund some money, but that's certainly 6 7 our position. And obviously, Brianna has been 8 informed that should you make an award of any sort 9 in this case, that she'll have to cooperate with 10 11 you to be able to set up direct deposit and all those sort of things as well. So please know that 12 she's been counseled on that. 13 I appreciate you taking the time and 14 listen to our perspective. And again, I'll just 15 ask that you do the right thing here and to award a 16 line-of-duty disability benefit to Brianna McCarty 17 at an effective date to be determined between 18 19 counsel. MS. GOODLOE: Thank you, Mr. Karlson. 20 At this time the Board has the option 21 to do one of three things. We can deliberate in 22

```
63
    open session and potentially rule on the case as
 1
        We can, pursuant to 2(c)(4) of the Open
2
 3
   Meetings Act, adjourn to executive session to
    deliberate as to the evidence and testimony received
 4
    thus far. Or, if the Board needs additional time
 5
    to review the evidence and transcript, we could
6
7
   always adjourn the hearing for today and reconvene
    at a date and time that's agreeable amongst all
8
   parties.
9
           PRESIDENT RUSSELL: At this time I'd like
10
11
    to -- I have a few questions just of our attorney.
    I'd like to ask that we just go into executive
12
13
    session so we can talk about those issues, and then
    we can come out of the executive session and go
14
15
    from there. I just have some questions.
           MS. GOODLOE: Sure. You would need to make
16
    a notion to adjourn into executive session pursuant
17
    to 2(c)(4) of the Open Meetings Act.
18
19
           PRESIDENT RUSSELL: I'd like to make a
   motion to go into executive session pursuant to
20
    Section 2(c)(4) of the Open Meetings Act.
21
22
          MR. LYONS: I'll second.
```

```
64
           PRESIDENT RUSSELL: Let's do a roll call.
1
2
    Trustee Westcott?
          MR. WESTCOTT: Here.
 3
           PRESIDENT RUSSELL: Trustee Lyons?
 4
          MR. LYONS: Yes.
 5
           PRESIDENT RUSSELL:
                               Trustee Russell, yes.
 6
 7
          MS. GOODLOE: Okay. We'll go ahead and go
    into executive session. I'm just making a note of
8
    who made the motion and seconded it.
                What I'm going to try to do is go ahead
10
    and turn off our video and mute. I respectfully
11
    request Keith and Brianna, you guys to do the same,
12
    just to insure nobody can hear anything.
13
                Keith, what is your cell number?
14
           MR. KARLSON: My cell is (312)372-6651. If
15
    you want, I'll log off.
16
           MS. GOODLOE: If you both could log off?
17
           MR. KARLSON: We'll log off, and that way
18
    you can do whatever you want. And then just call
19
20
    me when you're ready to.
           MS. GOODLOE: And we're going off at 2:33.
2.1
22
           MR. KARLSON: Thank you.
```

```
65
                      (There was a break taken, after
 1
 2
                      which the hearing was resumed as
 3
                      follows:)
           MR. LYONS: I'll make a motion to resume
 4
 5
    open session.
 6
           MS. GOODLOE: You need a second.
 7
           MR. WESTCOTT: I'll second.
           PRESIDENT RUSSELL: I'll take a roll call
 8
 9
    vote. Trustee Westcott?
10
           MR. WESTCOTT: Here.
11
           PRESIDENT RUSSELL: Trustee Lyons.
12
           TRUSTEE LYONS: Yes.
13
           PRESIDENT RUSSELL: Trustee Russell, here.
14
           MS. GOODLOE: Okay. We're back in open
15
    session.
16
                The Board had adjourned pursuant to
17
    2(c)(4) of the Open Meetings Act to deliberate as
    to the disability matter before it. The Board is
18
19
    in a position to make a determination at this time.
           MR. WESTCOTT: I make a motion to confirm
20
21
    and acknowledge and grant the pension for Brianna
22
    Tedesco, the disability benefit.
```

```
66
           MR. LYONS: I'll second.
 1
           MR. KARLSON: Can I confirm for the Board,
 2
 3
    is that a line-of-duty?
           MS. GOODLOE: Yes.
 4
 5
           MR. LYONS: Yes.
           MR. KARLSON: Okay, thank you.
 6
           PRESIDENT RUSSELL: We'll have a vote on
 7
 8
    that.
           Trustee Lyons?
 9
           MR. LYONS: Yes.
           PRESIDENT RUSSELL: Trustee Westcott?
10
           MR. WESTCOTT: Yes.
11
           PRESIDENT RUSSELL: Trustee Russell, yes.
12
                        Thank you.
13
           MR. KARLSON:
           MS. GOODLOE: It's hard to say
14
    congratulations, but we are appreciative of being
15
    able to favorably adjudicate this claim today on
16
    behalf of Ms. McCarty.
17
                What's going to happen now is I'm going
18
    to be in communications with the Board's
19
    accountants, Lauterbach and Amen, as well as the
20
    municipality, to confirm salary and her benefit
21
    information. I will advise them of the decision
22
```

```
67
    that we made today. And I'm sure that they'll be
1
    in too much with respect to what they are going to
2
   do with respect to the TTD benefits.
3
                I'll be in communications with you
 4
    Keith to make sure we continue to get the balling
5
6
    rolling.
7
                Obviously, Brianna is going to have to
    fill out some paperwork from Lauterbach and Amen.
8
    It will take us a couple weeks' time to get her
    into pay status. We'll work as expeditiously as
10
11
    possible to do so.
                There will be some level of retroactive
12
    benefit time or pension payments going back to her.
13
    We'll make those calculations and get those signed
14
    off on.
15
                Obviously, we discussed earlier that
16
    Brianna is obligated up until age 50 to undergo
17
    annual physical retention reevaluations.
18
                Are there any additional questions at
19
20
    this time?
           MR. KARLSON: The only thing I'll say is
21
    while I'm still representing her, you can have
22
```

```
68
    Lauterbach and Amen directly contact her. I want
 1
    that on the record. So they don't need to be going
 2
 3
    through me to do that. All I'm going to do is slow
    things down as far as that goes.
 4
           MR. LYONS: There will be a lot of
 5
 6
    paperwork.
 7
           MR. KARLSON: Yes. It's not too bad, but
    it's very manageable. And Lauterbach does a nice
 8
    job at making it very user friendly.
 9
                Other than that, on behalf of Brianna
10
    and I, thank you very much for your thoughtful
11
    consideration and doing the right thing, like we
12
13
    said before.
                I don't think we have anything else for
14
    you, unless you have something for us.
15
                I'm also, just to make your life
16
    easier, on the record, willing to accept a copy of
17
    the final Decision and Order via email so you don't
18
    have to send Certified Mail and do all that, unless
19
    you feel like you need to. But other than that, I
20
    don't think we have gone else.
21
           MS. GOODLOE: Is there a motion to adjourn?
22
```

```
69
           MR. WESTCOTT: I make a motion to adjourn.
1
2
           MR. LYONS: I'll second.
           PRESIDENT RUSSELL: We'll do a vote. Trustee
3
4
   Lyons?
           MR. LYONS: Yes.
5
           PRESIDENT RUSSELL: Trustee Westcott?
6
7
           MR. WESTCOTT: Yes.
           PRESIDENT RUSSELL: Trustee Russell? Yes.
8
           MS. GOODLOE: Okay. We're off the record
9
    at 3:07. Thank you everyone.
10
11
                      (Which were all the proceedings
12
                      had and testimony taken at the
13
                      hearing of the above-entitled
14
                      cause.)
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

		70
1	STATE OF ILLINOIS)	
) SS.	
2	COUNTY OF DU PAGE)	
3		
4	I, GLORIA APOSTOLOS SIOLIDIS, C.S.R., duly	
5	qualified and commissioned for the State of Illinois,	
6	County of DuPage, do hereby certify that I reported	
7	in shorthand the proceedings had and testimony	
8	taken at the Hearing of the above-entitled cause,	
9	and that the foregoing transcript is a true, correct,	
10	and complete report of the entire testimony so	
11	taken at the time and place hereinabove set forth.	
12	ENTER THE PROPERTY OF THE PROP	
L3		
L4	10 - 1.0-0-	
15	Slave Stoledy	
,8,	GLORIA APOSTOLOS SIOLIDIS	
16	CSR License #084-001205	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

- 2	-	-	
	7	п	
		- 1	

				/1
A	63:7,17 68:22	animals 52:1	appoint 3:6,8	attorney 4:8
	69:1	annual 48:11	appointed 35:13	5:16 6:20 9:13
A.M 14:17	adjourned 65:16	67:18	appreciate 43:20	63:11
abandoned	adjudicate 4:1	answer 61:5	62:14	attorneys 2:1
60:10	48:9 66:16	answering 53:21	appreciative	7:13
abilities 16:21	administered	answers 59:4	66:15	August 13:18
ability 32:17	11:21 18:12	Anthony 29:7	approached	36:10,16,21
able 10:17 11:1	20:8	42:5 43:15,16	41:18	37:7,11 38:14
20:14 26:5	administrative	43:17	appropriate	available 61:9
61:6 62:11	7:13,16 8:21	Anthony's 57:21	29:6	Avenue 2:10
66:16	9:8 37:4 48:17	anticipated	appropriately	avoid 29:18,20
above-entitled	adopt 59:22	18:12	20:15	31:7 53:18
1:10 69:14	60:10	anticipation	approved 7:9	avoidance 28:21
70:8	advice 5:19 6:10	16:5	April 36:1 39:18	awake 26:12
Absolutely 20:1	advice 5:17 0:10	anxiety 14:21	area 59:19	award 11:11
53:13	advised 42:18	15:2,9,11	argument 6:21	61:21 62:9,16
academy 39:13 39:14	affirmed 3:17	23:22 24:2,19	58:14	awarded 40:3
- /	afforded 6:6	24:20 25:2	arrived 43:15	awards 50:10,14
accept 3:5 68:17	afraid 17:22	30:18 45:9	Article 3:22 8:2	aware 37:12
accepted 7:9 access 58:2	afternoon 49:6	46:17,19 47:2	ascertaining	
accident 35:21	age 33:22 48:13	52:21 56:22	7:18	B
	67:17	anybody 44:8	aside 44:9	B-r-i-a-n-n-a
accidentally 34:19	agency 7:15	56:19	asked 42:9,20,21	12:12
accountants	agree 48:12	anyway 40:9	55:16 56:8	baby 53:4
66:20	agreeable 6:15	apologize 33:14	57:20	back 16:22 17:5
accurate 16:18	63:8	APOSTOLOS	asking 16:6	17:8 21:11
32:16	agreed 4:20	1:13 70:4,15	33:18 50:7	22:20 30:15
accurately 13:8	58:20	appealable 7:5	asleep 26:8	41:22 42:11,19
acknowledge	Agreement	appear 4:21	associate 26:14	43:9 48:19
4:10 65:21	40:15,18	appeared 2:7,13	assume 35:3	51:19 57:5
act 7:2 38:10	agrees 61:13	Appellate 7:8	45:13	61:7 65:14
59:6,12 63:3	ahead 3:19 24:3	applicant 1:6	attack 14:22	67:13
63:18,21 65:17	64:7,10	2:13 4:2,3,8,12	15:3,11 23:21	backed 41:14
action 29:6	alarm 28:18	4:12 5:10,15	23:22,22 24:2	background
activities 14:10	alarms 51:15	5:21 6:5 7:15	24:16 25:2	33:20
20:16	allow 4:20	8:22 11:15	29:13 30:18	bad 68:7
actual 54:7,8,9	allowed 57:16,19		attacks 15:9	ball 33:10
add 40:14	alternative	applicant's 6:20	24:19,21 45:9	balling 67:5
addition 30:8	39:22	application 1:3	46:17	banquet 50:14
additional 5:22	Amen 66:20 67:8	10:8 32:12	attend 49:18	Bargaining
9:9 58:8 63:5	68:1	34:14 38:8,11	50:22	40:15,17 based 4:22 54:11
67:19	amend 40:14	39:17,18 59:3	attended 47:8	basement 28:17
adjourn 7:2 63:3	amount 12:20	applied 47:22	50:11	
	and/or 6:4	59:3	attention 12:8	bearing 47:19
		<u> </u>		

70		
7.		

				12
5.10	15.0.10.2	h	causation 59:15	24:6 31:12
bears 5:10	15:2 19:2	brought 56:1	59:18 60:3,11	56:18
bedroom 51:19	22:17 23:9	building 16:4	cause 27:15	clearing 18:18
beer 41:22	26:18 27:5	18:16,18 19:12	1	clearly 61:5,15
began 22:6 35:5	29:17 31:18	24:6	29:15 69:15	
41:21	32:7 33:6	burden 5:10	70:8	clinking 43:6
beginning 37:6	40:10 41:8	but-for 60:11	caused 14:21	clip 9:11,17
behalf 2:7,13	48:13 49:2,2	С	celebrations	close 26:11
4:11 6:7 66:17	56:8 58:12	C.S.R 70:4	51:1,4	closed 26:6
68:10	60:10 62:21	calculations	cell 64:14,15	28:18 51:22
believe 9:14 13:8	63:5 65:16,18		certain 5:13	closing 6:21
14:9 16:16,19	66:2	67:14 call 3:12 4:13 6:6	27:14 29:20	58:14
16:22 17:16	Board's 5:17	1	certainly 9:22	co-worker 10:14
18:5 20:20	17:14 22:5	6:17 11:14	10:8 11:11	19:22
29:5 32:4 36:9	66:19	20:5 33:15	13:15 20:17	co-workers
36:18 37:4,21	boat 51:7	64:1,19 65:8	27:9 40:10	47:18 48:2,3
39:1 46:18	body 13:11,13	called 12:2 18:21	45:5 58:15	Code 4:1 48:8
58:11 59:22	18:10,11 20:15	41:16	59:21 61:6,20	collapsed 50:4
60:5 61:2,6	22:2 23:13	calling 3:2	62:2,4,6	Collective 40:15
believed 17:2	24:13 25:16	calls 11:15	Certified 68:19	40:17
32:15	52:22,22	cam 13:13	certify 70:6	come 21:6 48:19
benefit 15:1	box 16:7 18:3,4	camera 13:11,14	changed 10:16	52:12 63:14
17:15 23:9	43:1	28:19 31:19	10:22	comes 28:16
26:18 29:17	bravest 55:6	cameras 51:14	chapter 40:17	coming 42:19
61:22 62:17	break 23:4 49:11	capable 8:3,10	charged 28:20	51:21 52:8
65:22 66:21	65:1	capacity 46:1	check 62:5	62:5
67:13	breaks 51:20	car 13:12 35:21	checks 41:13	commencing
benefits 38:10	breath 15:5,7	56:14,15 57:1	Chicago 51:1	1:10
38:14,17 67:3	24:9,10 25:18	57:5,9	child 53:16,17	comment 3:4,5,5
best 32:16	breathe 19:16	card 16:7	children 34:10	commissioned
better 23:5 42:5	breathing 15:4	Carrie 13:18	34:12	1:14 70:5
46:16,20 47:3	23:20 24:7	21:9 44:1	choice 53:18	communications
47:4	Brian 50:2	carry 46:3,6,7	choke 18:14	66:19 67:4
big 28:7	Brianna 1:5 4:2	carrying 53:16	choose 33:2 40:5	community
bigger 31:6	4:14,16 10:6,6	CARTY 12:1	58:14	20:19 32:11
birth 42:10	10:12 11:16	55:9	choppy 51:18	Comp 62:1
bit 50:7 55:12	12:1,6,12 33:5	case 4:2 5:8 7:8	chosen 10:17	comparable
blinds 28:17	33:13 49:6	8:11 14:4	citizen 59:14	23:14
51:21	54:21 55:8	20:10 33:14	claim 4:1 6:3 7:5	compensation
blow 30:5	58:21 62:8,17	41:22 58:20	8:4 38:3 48:10	38:3 40:18
Board 1:1,11,17	64:12 65:21	60:19 61:6	66:16	complete 41:13
2:7 3:6 4:7	67:7,17 68:10	62:10 63:1	claimed 46:21	70:10
5:12,18 6:4,9	brief 11:7 49:15	cases 59:18	clarify 34:15	completed 6:13
6:17,22 7:3 9:1	Briefly 9:21	catch 15:5,7 24:9	clear 16:4 18:16	6:16 32:15
9:1,9,10,18	broad 36:13	caught 52:16	18:19 19:13	completely
	<u></u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>

- 9	-		٠	۰,
		•		_

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
16:18	67:5	33:22 36:8	demonstrates	5:11 6:3 7:5
concerts 31:6	continued 6:14	currently 32:19	11:5	8:4 11:6,11
conclude 18:6	6:16 43:14	37:15 38:20	demonstrating	34:14 38:9,18
60:12	contrary 61:8	45:11,21 52:1	61:16	39:16,22 40:3
conclusion 6:19	contributes 53:6	52:10	department 13:3	45:19 48:10,12
16:17	53:10	curtain 14:19	13:21 34:3	59:3 60:8
conclusively	contributing		36:22 37:14	61:22 62:17
61:10	60:7	D	39:2,14 55:5	65:18,22
condition 41:3	control 20:15,16	D 45:3	58:2	disabled 61:15
44:13 53:7,11	24:13	danger 25:11	dependent 34:10	disagree 47:20
56:22	cooperate 48:13	Darien 39:2,9,15	depends 24:22	discern 61:4
conditions 45:10	62:10	dark 15:16,16	depicted 13:14	discussed 9:13
45:18 46:21	copy 14:3 68:17	darkness 15:15	deposit 62:11	23:8 67:16
47:22 56:10,16	correct 14:5,8	17:19,22 28:13	depression 45:17	disease 56:3
conducted 3:22	21:5,15,18	28:14 29:14	description	disorder 54:5
confer 40:2	22:7 24:17	date 4:4 6:13,17	41:16 42:5	dispatch 42:6,18
conferences	31:4,13,14,16	10:10 12:22	desensitization	distinction 60:3
59:17	31:17 33:22	13:2 21:1	22:19	distract 30:6
confirm 39:17	34:1,4,5,8,9	34:16,17,21,22	determination	51:17
47:7 65:20	35:20 36:3,4,6	35:2,4,13	65:19	District 60:1
66:2,21	36:7,11,12	36:15 37:7,20	determined	doctor 21:9
confronted	38:10,16,22	39:18 40:4	62:18	55:18
10:13	39:4,5,6,19	41:11 42:10	developing	doctor's 54:3
congratulations	40:1 41:3,4	59:1,2 62:3,18	59:19	doctors 58:19
12:19 49:7	45:15 47:11	63:8	diagnosis 54:4,7	document 43:3
53:4 54:22	51:11 70:9	dates 6:15 14:10	54:8,9,13	documentation
55:8 66:15	counsel 4:5,21	day 10:12 24:20	die 25:13	5:13 41:6
connection	6:5 8:22 9:2,19	24:20,21 25:3	differ 23:18	documented
47:21	11:13 33:9	27:13 37:9	different 28:14	14:10
Conroe 47:10	40:2 56:8	41:9 47:6	54:3 57:2	dogs 52:2,3,4,6
conscious 57:8	62:19	50:22 57:14	60:14	doing 10:3 15:21
60:12	counseled 62:13	61:9	difficult 19:4	18:12 19:12
consideration	County 21:22	days 27:12 53:1 deal 56:3	43:19 52:17	30:15 32:19
68:12	70:2,6		digging 16:7	36:21 68:12
considered 7:16	couple 33:13	dealing 31:5 death 20:9	43:1,5	dome 52:18
construed 5:2	67:9	debriefing 21:8	diligence 11:9	door 43:5,6,17
contact 68:1	court 7:8 11:16	decided 21:11	Dinwiddie 47:10	51:19
continuance	11:18 57:21	decision 7:4,5,8	61:13	doors 28:19
48:12	covered 40:18	66:22 68:18	Dinwiddie's	doorway 51:21 dot 22:20
continue 6:1	COVID 5:1	definitely 37:22	47:13,20 61:3 direct 12:4 62:11	Dr 13:18,20 14:1
13:22 22:8	CROSS 33:11	definition 54:6		14:10 16:10
33:15 44:3	crowds 31:5,6	deliberate 62:22	directly 68:1 disabilities 60:4	17:4 21:17
45:8 46:3	CSR 1:13 70:16	63:4 65:17	disability 1:3 4:1	22:3,6,8,12
49:10,13 57:19	current 12:10	05.105.17	usaumty 1.5 4.1	22.3,0,0,12
	·			

_	
	- 71

				
44:1,2,3,4,9	effectively 32:9	5:14,22 7:19	23:2,2	fireworks 30:19
47:8,13,20	eight 6:12	8:21 10:20	eyes 26:6,12,22	49:20 50:5
61:3,13	either 7:1 19:21	11:5,12 36:9	27:4 28:11	51:8
dragon 33:18	22:20	61:2,8,10,16	42:4	first 11:14 12:2
dream 10:17	eleven 7:3	61:20 63:4,6		13:17 14:14,21
drenched 25:16	email 68:18	exam 35:9	F	26:2,4 49:6
drive 52:11,16	EMDR 22:15,17	EXAMINATI	face 19:19	53:14 60:1,18
52:18,20 53:1	employed 46:1,2	12:4 33:11	fact 7:18 53:3,5	fit 61:14
driver 18:2	59:2	49:4 55:13	57:13 60:20	fitness 35:9
drivers 15:22	Employee 38:9	examined 12:3	factor 60:7	fitness-for-duty
driving 15:21	employment	examples 19:10	fair 12:20 14:6	35:6
52:10 57:10	34:13,16,18	30:12	17:6 48:6 58:5	five 5:21 27:11
61:12	36:8,16 37:1,2	exception 7:20	fairly 8:3,10	27:12 47:6
Drs 47:10	39:7	executive 7:2	Fairness 7:21	49:11
		63:3,12,14,17	fall 26:8	flashback 26:17
drugs 55:17	empty 27:6 encountered	63:20 64:8	familiar 10:11	27:15
dry 30:5	1	exhibit 9:10,10	25:7	flashbacks 26:16
DU 70:2	59:14	9:18 32:13	family 42:2	27:10 28:1
due 5:1 11:9	ends 59:10	40:14	far 27:22 63:5	46:17,21 49:16
45:16 61:19	enforcement 16:19 56:6	exhibits 5:17 9:2	68:4	50:10
62:1		9:4,6 11:9	favorably 48:10	flashlight 41:19
duly 1:14 11:20	engaged 28:8	l '	66:16	Fletcher 47:9
12:2 70:4	59:5,6	expeditiously 67:10	fear 57:5	floor 49:1
Duncan 42:10	enjoy 55:7	experience 15:2	feel 8:9,12,15,17	floors 30:13
DuPage 70:6	enjoyable 31:8	1 -	17:3 19:17,20	flustered 18:14
duties 8:6	enter 40:21	19:14 24:18,20	29:10,11 32:10	follow 61:1
duty 21:12,13	entered 9:7,18	26:15,19 27:9	33:4 46:14	followed 6:2
28:9 32:5 36:2	entering 5:22	experienced	55:3 56:1	following 15:12
36:19 37:4,8	30:7	57:2	68:20	26:5 37:5
37:10,10,13,17	entire 37:2 43:11	expire 38:14	feels 8:5 24:12	56:14,22
38:12 57:17	52:19 70:10	explain 15:1	felt 19:15	follows 5:8 12:3
59:6,13	entitled 11:5	16:15 19:2	female 18:8	65:3
dye 51:2	entitlement 5:11	20:2 22:17	Fest 49:18	foot 10:15
E	entry 8:20 9:3	26:18 27:5,8	field 15:18	footage 13:11,12
ear 31:3	environment	29:17 30:12	fight 25:17 43:14	13:13 41:6
earlier 36:18	48:2	32:7	filed 10:8 38:2	force 39:11
42:3 44:3 45:8	especially 33:19	explained 24:5	45:18	
46:14 67:16	establish 61:10	expose 24:22	fill 67:8	foregoing 70:9 forehead 27:2
1	evaluation 35:6	expound 47:17	final 7:4 68:18	43:7
early 21:2 easier 68:17	evaluations	extent 25:22	finally 7:7 8:16	forever 10:16
1	47:10 48:20	56:21	15:5,7 24:9	form 5:3 24:7
easy 57:22 effect 40:15 48:4	event 6:12 13:15	extreme 57:6	find 17:20 24:8	
1	events 13:9	extremely 19:18	findings 7:18	48:1
effective 40:4	everybody 10:4	52:17	firearm 46:5	formal 7:9
62:3,18	evidence 4:6,6	eye 11:3 22:19	III CALIII 70.J	forth 22:20
	1	1		

•	

70:11	getting 46:15,19	63:16 64:7,17	53:8 64:13	41:10
forthcoming	give 36:20 49:11	64:21 65:6,14	heard 43:6	identify 4:9,14
43:20	given 14:3 17:18	66:4,14 68:22	hearing 1:10 3:6	42:21
forward 5:6	33:3 42:8 56:4	69:9	3:9,9,18,19,21	IL 2:5,11
fought 43:10	GLORIA 1:13	gotten 10:7 47:3	5:19 6:10,13	Illinois 1:15 3:22
four 5:18	70:4,15	47:4	6:16,20 7:12	48:19 70:1,5
frequency 47:5	glove 16:7 18:2,4	grabbed 43:8	11:4 30:7 63:7	IME 47:13
frequent 27:3	43:1	graduated 39:14	65:2 69:14	immediately
frequently 25:1	go 3:19 13:20,22	grant 65:21	70:8	19:5 26:5
freshly 20:6	15:5 16:9 17:5	gravel 41:14	Hearsay 7:20	27:18 37:5
Friday 14:16	17:8 18:3	42:8	heart 15:6 19:16	42:3
friend 28:15	20:11 24:3,7	great 40:11 55:1	heavily-litigated	impact 46:11
friendly 68:9	24:11 28:13,16	grip 30:17	59:7	55:16
front 31:15	28:17,20,22	guess 54:6	heightened	impacted 56:2
41:15,22 47:1	29:19 31:2,9	gun 27:2 43:8,10	52:21,22	impacts 29:2
full 32:4 33:19	43:13 50:21	43:13,15 46:9	Heights 2:11	impartial 8:13
36:2	61:7 63:12,14	50:2 59:11	help 21:22 33:4	8:15,18
full-blown 30:18	63:20 64:7,7	guns 60:14	53:13 57:20	important 7:12
full-fledged	64:10	guys 31:22 50:15	60:21	inaccurate 14:11
54:14	goes 41:7 68:4	52:1 64:12	helped 23:6	incapable 8:5
full-time 13:3	goggles 23:1		helps 40:13	incident 9:12,13
35:1,4,5,12	going 3:11 11:16	<u>н</u>	hereinabove	10:16 14:14
37:3,12,16	16:13 18:14	hair 30:5	70:11	15:8,13 23:4
61:9	19:19 23:8	halfway 41:20	hiding 14:20	23:12 26:13
fully 28:20	32:19,22 33:17	hand 11:18 12:7	hired 39:12	35:14 38:4
function 15:15	41:10 45:22	22:21,22 23:1	history 34:14	41:7,11 43:21
18:17	47:15 48:14	handed 43:2,5	41:2	46:6 49:17
Fund 1:1,12 62:6	49:15 55:1,2	handle 18:3	hold 12:7 16:20	51:5 57:7
Fundamental	64:10,21 66:18	happen 16:6	holding 24:8	61:17
7:21	66:18 67:2,7	66:18	Holland 39:13	incidents 13:6
Funds 8:2	67:13 68:2,3	happened 10:19	home 25:20	52:15
further 6:18,18	good 17:11,17	19:3 26:8	29:12 30:4,7	included 32:13
48:22 54:13	45:4 49:6	happening 22:2	30:22 46:7,8	includes 13:11
Furthermore	60:12	happens 23:17	53:19	incorrectly
8:1	Goodloe 2:3,3	25:15 26:19	hope 10:2 56:5	18:13
	3:8,11,20 4:19	27:16,17	horrifying 60:16	Independent
<u>G</u>	5:6 8:14,16,19	happy 10:8	house 29:21 52:8	47:9
gaps 35:15	9:6,17,22	hard 66:14 Harlem 2:10	Howe 7:8	indicate 39:1
GARZA 2:9	11:13 33:6,9		husband 28:15	56:13
gas 17:20	33:12 40:11,20	head 45:7 55:7	29:20 30:14	indicated 34:6
gate 41:15	41:1 48:22	headphones 30:5	51:9 52:12,13	38:8 47:14
general 11:3 27:22 28:4	54:1,8,10,12	hear 12:6 30:2		info@pgm-la
•	54:16,18 55:10	41:8 51:17	idea 17:12,17	2:6
gentlemen 8:19	58:8,11 62:20	41.0 31.1/	IUCa 1 / . 1 2, 1 /	information
	<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•

20:11 40:22 June 34:16,18 43:3,19 47:2 40:2 43:2 53:15 50:8 58:3 35:2 48:7,16 49:8 Let's 28:13 64:1 look 37:22 66:22 justice 10:3 50:14 52:7 level 67:12 looked 43:2	
50:8 58:3 35:2 48:7,16 49:8 Let's 28:13 64:1 look 37:22 66:22 justice 10:3 50:14 52:7 level 67:12 looked 43:2	
66:22 justice 10:3 50:14 52:7 level 67:12 looked 43:2	
1 00.22	7 12
informed 62:9 54:22 55:15 license 1:13 looking 18:	
W I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I	
Initial 55.1 50.0 50.5 57.0,10 41.15 42.12 21.21 45.	
inside 18:20 4:11,11,18 5:5 knowing 18:4 Lieutenant losing 30:10	
instituted 7:17 9:5,14,16,21 known 10:13 21:22 lot 15:19 19	
insurance 16:7 10:1,5 11:15 55:6 life 10:12,16,22 22:15,18	
18:2 12:5 31:22 Kroll 22:3,6,8,12 20:6,7,8 27:7 24:9 27:1	
insure 8:8 64:13 32:2 40:7,12 44:2,3,4,9 28:13 29:2 28:21 46:	
intact 7:22 55:12,14 58:7 33:3 55:7 56:7 53:18 68:	
intend 53:15 58:9,10,15 <u>L</u> 68:16 loud 15:17	
intends 5:13 62:20 64:15,18 L-o-i-a-c-o-n-o light 21:12,13 30:6,8,10	
intense 23:19 64:22 66:2,6 58:6 23:2 36:19 Lyons 1:19	
interesting 66:13 67:21 labeled 9:10 37:3,8,10,10 3:14,15 8	
59:19 68:7 lady 33:19 37:12,16 38:12 8:15 54:1	
interrupt 24:3 keep 7:13 11:2,7 Lakemoor 1:1 51:20 57:17 54:11,15,	
introducing 5:14 20:15 55:7 1:12 4:7 13:3 lights 29:22 61:3 63:2	
intrude 51:17 keeps 62:5 17:18 20:22 50:19 51:15 64:4,5 65	-
investigation Keith 2:9 4:11 34:3 35:11,19 52:18 65:12 66:	
7:17 10:5 45:6 36:22 37:13,17 limbs 15:5 19:17 66:9 68:5	69:2
involved 44:6 55:11 64:12,14 39:8,9 47:16 24:6,11 69:4,5	
57:4 67:5 49:18 57:11 line 60:2	
involves 59:13 Kenneth 28:10 58:1 line-of-duty 11:6 M	
issue 59:15,18 kept 50:18 large 35:15 11:11 39:21 M-c-C-a-r-	-t-y
62:1 Kevin 1:19 3:14 largely 60:10 61:21 62:17 12:13	
issues 47:15 kill 10:13 18:11 Laura 2:3 3:8,18 66:3 Mail 68:19	
51:13 63:13 25:13 60:14 3:19 40:13 liquor 41:21 making 7:1	
kind 18:7 21:21 59:16 62:2 listen 62:15 18:20 64:	8
J 22:2 26:7,13 Lauterbach listening 58:18 68:9	
James 42:10 29:7 30:6 66:20 67:8 lit 17:21 male 15:22	18:1
job 30:10 68:9 36:13,20 41:8 68:1,8 little 46:15,20 41:17	
jobs 39:9 56:4,6 law 5:9 16:19 49:11 50:7 man 60:13	
judging 8:3,10 kkarlson@kar 56:5 59:19 55:12 manageabl	le
July 10:10,19,22 2:12 61:1 LLC 2:9 68:8	
12:18,21 14:13 knots 15:6 leads 59:15 location 15:18 mandated	13:21
15:8,12 20:13 knotted 19:15 learned 18:9 41:16 manner 8:6	5 56:2
25:22 26:2 24:11 20:20 26:14 locked 28:19 MAP 40:16	
30:19,21 34:7 know 11:8 16:5 leave 35:17 37:4 log 64:16,17,18 March 1:1	
35:14 36:15,21 19:8 20:4 43:13 Loiacono 42:5 marked 42:	
38:3 48:5 51:4 25:18,19 26:6 led 17:15 20:9 long 11:2 15:14 marriage 5	4:22
57:3,7 60:6 27:8 29:12 21:22 30:17 33:14 55:8	
61:17 32:8 37:19 legal 4:5,21 6:4,9 longer 20:21 marriages	34:7

77		
77	-	-

married 10:7	mental 14:15	mute 64:11	30:6,8,10,11	occurred 13:15
12:14,17 34:6	16:21 56:10,22		non-duty 39:22	41:9 59:1
50:1	60:4	N	non-stop 26:21	occurs 23:16
Martel 28:10	met 13:17,18	name 10:5 12:10	normal 17:3	offender 59:11
MARZULLO	Midnight 20:4	12:15 42:9,18	normally 59:13	offered 37:16,18
2:3	midnights 51:10	42:22 44:19,20	Northbrook2:5	58:20
match 59:11	Mike 51:6	45:6 50:1	Notary 11:22	Officer 3:7,9,18
60:13	mild 57:4	57:21	note 9:8 47:17	4:16 5:19 6:10
matter 1:3,10	military 36:5	names 45:5	64:8	10:18,22 15:21
6:11,14 58:18	mind 7:13 14:19	Narcan 18:13	notebook42:13	20:22 28:8
65:18	19:18 20:16	20:5,9	noted 4:19 8:1	32:4,9 34:4
matters 6:9	24:12 41:10	naturally 26:8	18:5 34:15,17	35:10,13 37:3
MC 2:9 12:1	minimizing	nature 22:11	notes 14:11	39:8 57:11
55:9	16:15	necessarily 28:1	16:14 22:5	58:21 59:1,2,9
McCarty 10:7	minimum 27:11	necessary 29:9	31:15,19 55:2	official 28:9
11:16 12:12	minutes 49:9	need 20:18 33:19	notified 4:3	offset 40:9
51:6 54:16	mirrors 42:15	63:16 65:6	notion 63:17	Oh 45:12 56:17
62:17 66:17	mislead 56:19	68:2,20	nowadays 31:5	okay 3:11 4:18
McHenry 21:22	Missy 49:22	needed 49:8	numb 15:5	8:19 9:6 12:9
McHenry's 51:7	mistake 33:16	needs 60:21 63:5	number 5:9,12	12:19 13:22
mean 18:18	mom 55:1	never 10:17 11:1	5:15,18,21 6:4	14:3 16:9
23:10 27:5	moment 25:19	36:5 37:18	6:8,12,19,22	17:14 21:13,16
40:8 46:16	26:22 27:2	38:9 51:3 56:5	7:3,7 64:14	23:6,8,16 25:5
47:5 56:15	moments 26:20	new 56:4	nuptials 49:7	26:11,17 28:22
means 23:11	money 62:6	nice 68:8		29:14 32:3
29:18	monthly 25:3	night 13:6,9	0	33:7,8,20,21
medical 22:18	morning 14:16	14:16 15:15,16	oath 11:20	34:13 35:1,5
25:6 35:16,18	motion 3:6 22:19	25:6,9,10,21	objection 9:3,14	36:2 40:11
41:2 43:22	63:20 64:9	26:3,9 27:20	40:20	43:13 45:12,16
47:9	65:4,20 68:22	28:16,17,18,20	objections 5:16	46:3 47:7,20
medication	69:1	29:4,7,19	5:20	48:6,22 49:14
45:10 55:18	move 3:8 8:20	50:17 51:12	objective 8:3,6	50:21 51:9
medications	17:22 22:20	52:10,11,17	obligated 67:17	52:4 53:20
45:2 53:10,13	31:18 48:18	53:1 57:10	obligations 48:8	54:15 64:7
medicines 53:6	moved 19:6	61:12	Obolsky 47:11	65:14 66:6
meet 13:16	Moving 5:6	nightmare 25:11	obviously 15:16	69:9
meeting 3:3 7:10	multiple 27:13	25:14,17	28:19 29:4,15	once 6:8 26:10
Meetings 7:1	60:15	nightmares	34:18 37:22	44:5,6
63:3,18,21	municipality	46:18,22	41:5 44:1 45:9	ones 31:2
65:17	66:21	nighttime 28:7	52:7 56:5 62:8	ongoing 5:1
member 13:3	murder 59:12	nine 6:19	67:7,16	open 7:1 49:1
19:21 40:16	murdered 10:14	noise 30:18	occasions 17:13	63:1,2,18,21
members 1:17	murderer 10:13	51:16	17:15	65:5,14,17
58:1	20:12	noises 15:17 28:5	occupied 41:17	opened 26:22
	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	I

opening 6:1 9:20	paranoia 51:22	40:9	59:16,21 60:21	proceed 4:10
11:7	parents 34:11	performing 8:6	60:22 61:9,21	5:22 49:12
opinion 47:21	parked 15:18	period 16:10	62:7 65:19	proceeding 5:4
54:11 61:4	17:20	36:19 39:3	positions 37:13	5:14 7:14,14
opinions 54:3	parking 15:19	40:19 44:21	positive 46:22	proceedings 1:9
58:20	part-time 34:21	57:18	possible 67:11	4:4 69:12 70:7
opportunity 6:6	partial 8:10	person 20:11	potentially 63:1	process 10:9
58:13	participating 5:3	perspective	pre-existing	19:3 21:19
option 61:11	particular 8:4	58:18 62:15	56:10,16	23:3,3 60:18
62:21	parties 6:15 63:9	pertains 9:11	pre-physical	profession 10:18
order 3:3 68:18	partisan 7:14	phone 52:19	35:9	professional
ordinary 59:14	partner 19:5	physical 60:4	pregnancy 45:16	18:7
out-of-state	42:5	67:18	55:17,22 56:1	properly 42:7
48:18	partners 20:17	physiological	pregnant 45:11	proposed 5:17
outcome 19:8	32:10	28:2	45:14	9:2
outset 4:20	parts 29:21	pick 28:16	prescribe 45:1	protection 31:3
outside 29:11	passed 20:4	place 15:20 28:6	prescription	provide 45:5
39:7 46:7 56:7	patently 59:12	70:11	45:4	proving 5:10
overall 46:16	path 33:3	places 52:12	present 1:17 2:1	provoke 23:12
overdose 18:9	Patrick's 50:22	plate 41:15	4:6,12 5:16	psychiatric
20:3	patrol 17:8 34:3	42:12	presentation	59:18
overdosed 20:7	35:13 37:3	please 4:9,15	50:10	psychiatrist
overnight 41:12	41:13	11:19 12:10	President 1:18	44:13
51:1,2,3	pay 38:18 67:10	47:17 62:12	3:1,14,16 6:10	psychological
overwhelming	payments 67:13	pleasure 10:6	8:12 49:2,5	14:15 41:3
61:19	PEDA 38:9,13	point 11:1 12:6	55:15 58:6	45:18 47:8
overwhelmingly	peel 30:15	13:5,16 17:4,8	63:10,19 64:1	56:14
10:21 11:4	Pending 38:7	17:10 18:6,22	64:4,6 65:8,11	psychologist
owns 50:2	Pennsylvania	20:20 21:3,9	65:13 66:7,10	45:22
	42:13 50:11,15	21:16 29:5	66:12 69:3,6,8	Psychology 33:1
<u>P</u>	pension 1:1,12	32:3 37:8 43:3	presumed 8:2	psychotherapi
P.M 1:11 20:5	4:1,7 5:11,17	49:10 57:13	previously 4:3	13:17
packet 32:13	5:18 6:9 8:2	police 1:1,12 4:7	8:22 9:13	psychotherapy
43:6	9:1,1,9,10,18	10:18 13:3,21	principle 11:3	22:14
PAGE 70:2	11:6,12 39:22	15:21 20:22	prior 15:8 25:22	PTSD 22:13
Palos 2:11	40:3,5 48:8,13	28:8,8 32:4,9	34:7 39:8 41:2	47:19 48:4
pandemic 5:1	49:2 58:11	34:3 35:10	56:15	54:4,14
panic 23:21,22	62:6 65:21	36:22 37:13	privilege 60:17	public 1:9 3:4,4
24:15,19,21	67:13	39:2,8,11,13	probably 40:7	3:5 19:21 38:9 PUCHALSKI
45:9 46:17	people 29:11	39:13 57:11	probationary 34:16	2:3
paper 43:4	33:4 46:12	58:2,21 59:1,2		
paperwork 67:8	55:6	59:9	problem 62:4	pull 18:4 pulled 43:16
68:6	perceive 56:2	position 10:21	problems 29:15	60:14
paralyzed 17:21	percent 38:21	37:17 55:19	procedures 5:7	00.14
	<u> </u>		-	

•	7	r	٦.
	r	٩,	A.

pulling 43:10	15:12	redirect 55:10	represent 10:6,9	reviewing 58:17
purpose 56:4	read 5:12 54:6	55:13	represented 4:5	revolver 43:7,17
purposes 7:17	reading 11:9	redoing 30:13	representing	RICKY 1:20
48:11	31:20 54:12	reevaluations	60:17 67:22	right 4:5 6:17
pursuant 3:22	ready 4:13,17	48:11 67:18	request 57:14	11:18 14:4
6:22 48:8 63:2	21:10 28:20	reference 9:11	64:12	21:4 24:16
63:17,20 65:16	64:20	referred 22:3	requesting 39:21	31:10,11,22
put 21:1,11	real 42:10	reflects 13:9	required 35:8	32:13 33:5
32:10,11 34:21	reality 61:18	regard 11:7	48:19 61:12	42:16 44:5,6
38:12 48:16	realize 15:14	55:22 61:20	requirement	45:7 46:1
55:19 57:7	17:11 25:20	regarding 49:15	57:10	48:17 49:17
puts 20:17 52:22	realized 21:9	registration	Resident 49:22	50:3 51:9 52:9
putting 19:21	really 50:18	42:22	respect 9:3,15	53:17 60:22
	60:21	regular 34:17	11:8 34:13	62:16 68:12
Q	rear-ended 57:6	related 22:13	38:3 39:16	risk 19:22 20:17
qualified 1:14	reason 14:9,11	39:11 48:1	43:21 44:13	32:10,11 59:13
70:5	53:2	relationship	46:20 47:13	river 51:2
quarter 30:14,16	reasoning 59:22	47:15 48:2,3	48:7,14 67:2,3	road 2:4 41:14
QUEARY 2:9	recall 35:3,15	relationships	respectfully 8:7	42:8
question 9:12	49:22	47:18	64:11	Robin 22:3
54:2 56:9 59:9	recap 36:20	relaxation 22:15	respond 4:6	Rocky 3:12
60:6 61:16	receive 24:14	relaxed 7:19	20:14	roll 3:11 4:13
questions 6:5	50:19	release 17:4	responds 59:9	64:1 65:8
33:6,7,13,17	received 38:9	relevant 40:19	response 23:12	rolled 41:20
33:18,20 49:1	44:1,2 63:4	rely 29:6	23:18 44:1	rolling 33:10
49:9,14 53:22	receiving 38:17	remain 7:22	restaurant 31:9	67:6
54:1,16,19,20	38:20	remained 37:10	restrictions 17:5	room 15:17
55:16 59:5	reconvene 63:7	remarks 11:7	result 24:15 48:4	31:13 50:17
63:11,15 67:19	record 4:9,15	remember 12:22	resulted 29:8	52:4 60:11
quick 58:2	5:13 6:1 8:9,21	14:6 16:11	resume 65:4	rooms 50:16
quite 60:1	9:4,8 12:11	37:7 44:19	resumed 65:2	rotate 22:21
R	18:19 22:4	45:4,6 47:3	retention 67:18	rotating 23:2
races 15:6 24:12	31:12 32:1	50:1,11 56:10	retroactive	round 30:14,16
racing 19:16,18	33:19 36:9	reminder 7:11	67:12	rule 5:19 7:21
Raise 11:18	38:1 39:1,17	removing 30:14	return 10:17	48:18 63:1
ran 20:11 42:18	40:14,21 47:7	render 7:3	11:1 21:10	Rules 7:19,21
range 30:22 46:9	48:17 68:2,17	replay 26:13,21	37:9 53:15	rulings 6:8
46:13	69:9	replayed 26:7	55:19	run 19:9
rank 34:2	records 14:3	replays 27:1,3	returned 16:2	running 40:8
Raphael 44:19	16:14 22:4,18	report 1:9 70:10	21:2,13 36:2	runs 17:18
reached 16:16	25:6 56:13	reported 1:13	37:6,9 42:17	Russell 1:18 3:1
22:1	58:17	70:6	returning 17:16	3:14,16,16
reactions 14:15	recovery 46:22	reporter 11:16	review 13:5 63:6	8:11,12 49:3,5
1 340000013 17.13	recuse 8:7	11:18 57:21	reviewed 41:6	55:15 58:6

				
63:10,19 64:1	41:5 60:16	signed 67:14	spell 12:10 57:21	stress-related
64:4,6,6 65:8	send 68:19	simple 33:15	sponsored 39:12	54:5
65:11,13,13	separate 50:15	sincerely 43:20	squad 13:12 57:5	strictly 7:22
66:7,10,12,12	54:14	SIOLIDIS 1:13	57:9	stroke 36:13
69:3,6,8,8	September 21:7	70:4,15	SS 70:1	stronger 16:20
	21:7 37:6,8	sit 30:22 60:9	St 50:22	subdivision
S	seriously 58:17	situation 52:16	standard 60:7,11	41:13
safe 20:21	serve 58:21	59:10 61:19	standpoint41:9	subject 48:10
safely 32:9	service 35:15	six 6:4 35:21	start 3:19 28:4	53:17 59:7
salary 37:19	serving 34:2	37:5 47:6	started 21:20	submitted 32:12
38:21 40:22	session 7:2 63:1	sleep 26:5 29:21	30:15 34:21	subsequent 7:10
66:21	63:3,13,14,17	50:19 51:15,16	35:4,19 43:1	sudden 30:9,11
Sanders 2:4	63:20 64:8	51:18,18 52:4	45:3	suffer 45:9
Sardo 59:22	65:5,15	52:6	state 1:15 12:10	suffering 44:14
saved 10:14 20:6	sessions 14:7	sleeping 41:18	48:19 51:22	suggest 36:9
20:7,8	set 51:15 62:11	50:16	70:1,5	suitable 41:8
saves 62:5	70:11	slow 68:3	stated 42:3 54:4	Suite 2:4,10
saw 26:22 27:4	setoff 40:9	slower 19:7	statement 6:1	summer 51:6
41:14 42:4	setting 7:20	snapped 30:17	9:20	support 6:2 55:4
44:20	seven 6:8	sobbing 15:7	station 17:20	supports 10:21
saying 31:10	shake 24:10	somebody21:20	status 36:8,17	suppose 14:17
47:3 61:8	shaking 19:7,17	60:21	37:1,2 38:6	sure 10:10 11:15
says 60:2	shape 5:3 48:1	Someone's 28:11	67:10	18:20 24:3
school 32:19,21	she'll 62:10	soon 55:20	Statute 60:2,4	30:2 40:22
32:22 45:22	shift 37:9 41:12	sorry 24:3 50:6	stay 52:22 53:19	42:6 51:14
screamed 43:8	shifts 17:19	53:8	Steiner 13:18,20	63:16 67:1,5
search 19:12	shoot 46:4	sort 14:15 15:12	14:1 16:10	suspect 19:9
seat 41:22,22	shooting 9:12	19:13 24:15	17:4 21:9,17	suspects 18:20
57:5	21:8 23:3	25:11,11 26:14	44:2	suspension
second 3:10 15:4	25:12 26:6,7	26:19 28:2	Steiner's 14:10	35:16,18
43:16 63:22	26:21 27:19	29:16 35:17	stimulus 23:14	suspicious 59:10
65:6,7 66:1	31:3 36:15	45:1 46:22	24:15	swear 11:17
69:2	37:5 48:5	47:14,21 62:9	stipulate 40:13	sweat 25:16
seconded 64:9	52:15 57:1	62:12	stipulation 4:20	sworn 12:3
Section 48:9	60:18 61:17	sought 44:12	4:22	symptoms 16:15
63:21	shootings 22:1	soul 27:1,5	stomach 15:6	19:13 28:3
see 13:20 14:18	shop 50:2	South 2:10 39:13	19:15 24:10	46:15 47:4
22:18 26:13	short 39:3 57:18	speaking 41:21	stop 15:4,22 16:3	57:2
27:6,14 28:9	shorthand 70:7	special 59:13	16:21 18:1,7	T
30:16 44:3	shot 43:18 46:5	specific 19:10	20:10 56:5	
50:6 51:20,20	shove 43:9	26:20 28:3	stopped 21:16	T-e-d-e-s-c-o 12:16
seeing 28:8	show 31:19	specifically 20:3	strange 15:18	
seeking 44:4,8	shower 14:18	specified 54:5	street 17:1 21:4	table 10:11
seen 13:13 18:11	side 7:15 42:15	Speedway 17:20	21:10 50:5	take 15:14 32:1

				01
25.0.16.40.11	1 26 10 44 10	11.012.17	L 1: 42.2	40.15.64.10
35:8,16 49:11	36:18 44:10	11:8 13:17	traveling 42:2	49:15 64:10
51:7 52:12	45:8,21 46:16	14:14 16:10	treat 22:8 45:17	trying 10:1 16:3
65:8 67:9	46:19	19:721:11	treated 21:17,20	18:16 19:13
taken 29:5 33:3	testify 46:14	24:14 26:3,6	60:5	24:6 25:13,16
65:1 69:13	testimony 6:2,18	35:12,15 36:19	treatment 14:1	41:13 50:20
70:8,11	63:4 69:13	37:2 38:11	16:9 22:6,11	51:17 56:19
talk 29:1 54:13	70:7,10	39:3 40:16,19	43:22 44:4,8	61:4
56:21 59:16	testing 47:8	41:18 42:14	44:12	TTD 38:17 40:8
63:13	thank 3:20 5:5	43:11 44:21	trick 33:18	62:5 67:3
talked 12:20	8:19 11:13	49:12 53:6,10	tried 10:13 16:2	turn 12:8 64:11
27:21	33:9 43:19	53:14,22 55:19	18:11 37:9	TV 50:18 51:16
talking 50:9	53:21 54:17	57:18 58:12,13	43:9 51:7	twice 44:7,20
56:11	55:9,10 58:16	62:14,21 63:5	60:14	two 5:12 10:15
teaching 56:6	62:20 64:22	63:8,10 65:19	tries 59:11	34:20 39:5
techniques 22:16	66:6,13 68:11	67:9,13,20	trigger 15:17,20	52:3,14 53:1
technology 10:2	69:10	70:11	15:22 16:3,4,8	60:14
Tedesco 1:5 4:2	Thanks 10:1	times 16:14	18:10 19:6	typically 24:8
4:16,16,21	58:7	19:11,20 27:11	23:10,16 24:14	25:12
10:6 12:16	therapy 13:22	27:12,13 46:5	28:1,6,7,9,11	
33:15 65:22	14:7	47:6 60:15	28:11,14,21	U
Tedesco's 10:12	thing 23:2 24:1	today 4:10,13	29:1 30:9,19	unable 58:21
10:22	61:1 62:16	7:11 8:6,11	43:11 60:15	unanimous
tell 36:14	67:21 68:12	22:9 24:18	triggered 16:1	61:20
telling 42:1	things 16:20	27:10 32:5	30:19 49:21	unavoidable
temporary 38:18	27:14 29:15	40:4 60:9 63:7	triggers 14:21	17:19
57:19	53:18 62:12,22	66:16 67:1	23:9,18 27:15	unbiased 8:10
ten 6:22	68:4	told 14:19 42:9	27:21 28:4,4	unconscious
ten-minute	think 10:20 11:3	42:15 43:14	29:16 32:8	18:10
49:11	19:11 21:7	55:18 57:18	46:18 49:15	uncontested
tendered 8:22	41:7 44:20	top 45:6	50:9	58:22
tenure 39:8	52:14 53:3,5,9	total 38:18	true 32:16 70:9	uncooperative
term 25:5,7	53:12 55:15	track 44:6	truly 53:20	5:4
26:14	58:22 59:8	traffic 15:22	Trustee 1:19,20	undeniable 60:8
terminated	60:8,9,12,20	16:3 18:1,6	8:5,11,14,16	undergo 35:6
36:10 38:12	60:22 68:14,21	20:10	54:1,18 61:3	67:17
termination	thinking 16:21	transcript 63:6	64:2,4,6 65:9	understand 22:2
37:20	18:14	70:9	65:11,12,13	23:4 48:20,21
terms 36:22	thoughtful68:11	transferred	66:8,10,12	53:21
43:22	three 5:15 52:14	39:15	69:3,6,8	understood 42:7
terror 25:6,9,10	53:1 54:3	transpired 36:14	Trustees 1:1,12	unmarked 42:8
26:3	62:22	transpose 34:20	2:7 7:11 8:2,9	unrestricted
20.5	1,02.22	transpose 37.20	2.7 7.11 0.2,9	22.5

81

trauma 44:6

54:5 56:14

traumatic 10:15

try 12:8 20:21

23:4 36:20

49:2

32:5

51:8

unsuccessful

thrust 12:21

time 3:1,7 6:14

6:14,17 8:8

26:9

terrors 25:21

testified 12:3

upcoming 53:4	39:17 40:14	Westcott 1:20	written 7:4	2
use 14:17 23:10	48:16 49:10,12	3:10,12,13	wrong 20:9 42:4	2(c)(11) 7:1
user 68:9	56:18 64:16,19	8:16,17 54:18	wrote 42:13	2(c)(4) 7:1 63:2
utilized 5:7	68:1	54:20 64:2,3	0004	63:18,21 65:17
	wanted 17:2	65:7,9,10,20	X	2/3 38:21
V	49:8 50:7	66:10,11 69:1		2:33 64:21
vacuum 30:5,10	wants 31:18	69:6,7	Y	2017 34:18,22
various 28:2	warning 18:5	whatnot 40:22	yeah 24:2 29:3	2017 34.18,22 2018 10:10,19,22
vehicle 13:14	washroom 14:18	willing 40:8,13	30:4 56:17	12:21 14:13
28:8 41:14,17	wasn't 19:5 26:5	53:17 62:2	year 13:19 34:19	15:8 25:22
41:19 42:12,17	42:6,16 60:13	68:17	44:22 50:21	26:2 34:17
42:21 43:9	watch 22:20	willingness 4:10	51:3	
Vehicles 15:17	watching 42:14	window 41:20	years 39:5 60:19	35:2,14 36:16 38:3 51:5 57:3
28:5	way 5:3 10:3	wish 54:21		60:7 61:17
verbal 18:5	18:7 24:8	witness 6:2	Z	
verifying 48:11	25:12 46:11	11:14,21 12:2	zappers 22:21	2019 36:10,16,21 37:11 39:19
versa 42:1	48:1 64:18	witnesses 6:7,18	zapping 22:22	
vibrating 22:22	we'll 12:7 40:21	58:8,12	22:22	50:21 2020 34:7 38:15
vice 42:1	50:21 64:7,18	woken 41:19	Zoom 4:13,22	
video 9:11,17	66:7 67:10,14	wondering	10:2	2021 1:11 3:2
13:6,8 41:5	69:3	19:18 54:7		2100 2:4
60:16 64:11	we're 4:13 10:8	word 23:9,10,14	0	21st 36:1
view 36:14	40:7,13 64:21	work 10:2,17	084-001205 1:14	22nd 21:7
Village 35:11	65:14 69:9	11:2 16:2 17:5	70:16	26th 10:10,19,22
47:16	weak 19:18 24:7	17:16 20:21	1	12:21 14:13
visit 42:2	24:11	21:2 37:6 39:2		15:8,12 25:22
vote 7:9 65:9	weapon 46:4,4	40:10 47:14	1 9:2,7 32:13 1:00 1:11 20:5	26:2 35:14
66:7 69:3	wear 31:2	48:1 55:19	1:00 1:11 20:5 1:23 3:1	36:15 57:3
voted 3:17	wedding 49:7	57:14 61:7	1:23 3:1 100 40:9	60:6 61:17
vulnerable	Wednesday 3:2	62:2,3 67:10		29 33:22
29:10,12	week 26:4,10	Workers' 38:2	110 2:4	3
27.10,12	27:11,12 44:5	62:1	115 48:9	33:22 8:2
W	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	working 13:2	12 7:7	3:00 14:17
wait 40:8 52:11	44:6,7 47:6	41:12 61:18	12-hour 17:18	l
52:13	weekly 25:2,4		12413 2:10	3:07 69:10
waiting 14:20	weeks 35:21	works 51:9	159:2,7	312)372-6651
wake 18:14	37:5	worse 10:12	15th 34:18 39:18	64:15
25:15,17,19	weeks' 67:9	worsening 53:6	16 9:10,18	4
walk 17:10,14	Wendell 1:18	53:11,12	17 1:11 40:14	4th 30:19,21
50:4	3:16,20	worthy 55:3 wouldn't 61:18	17th 3:2	51:4
walked 42:11	went 14:17		18 36:1 49:17	
walking 43:16	36:19 37:8	wreck 56:14,15	18th 34:16 35:2	5
want 4:14 9:8	45:13 51:2	57:1	36:21	50 48:13 67:17
26:4 34:15	53:14	wrestling 59:10	1SE 2:10	
	weren't 35:8	60:13		
	<u> </u>		·	·

				83
35		1]	
6				
60 37:21				
60062 2:5				
60463 2:11				
66 38:21				
7				
7/26 49:17				
7/26/18 9:12 41:7				
708)761-9030				:
2:11				
2.11				
8				
847)666-5680 2:5				
2:5				
9				
9th 13:18				
			:	

83

EXHIBIT 2

BEFORE THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LAKEMOOR POLICE PENSION FUND

IN THE MATTER OF THE)
DISABILITY PENSION OF:)
BRIANNA TEDESCO,)
)
)
Applicant.)

DECISION AND ORDER

The Board of Trustees of the Lakemoor Police Pension Fund ("Pension Board"), pursuant to the statutory authority set forth in Article 3 of the Illinois Pension Code, 40 ILCS 5/3-101, et seq., renders the following decision concerning the application of Patrol Officer Brianna Tedesco (A/K/A McCarty) ("Applicant") for a disability pension. Applicant seeks a line-of-duty disability pension pursuant to 40 ILCS 5/3-114.1, or, in the alternative, a not-on-duty disability pension pursuant to 40 ILCS 5/3-114.2. (Tr. p. 39-40). The Pension Board held a hearing in this matter on March 17, 2021. (Tr. p. 1). Applicant was represented by legal counsel throughout the entirety of these proceedings. (Tr. p. 4).

The Pension Board has carefully considered all of the evidence that was elicited at the hearing and has reviewed all of the exhibits that were made part of the administrative record. All of the arguments made by Applicant and the documentation submitted have been considered by the Pension Board. To the extent that any arguments, findings, and conclusions submitted by Applicant are in accordance with the findings, conclusions, and views stated herein, they have been accepted. To the extent that the testimony of witnesses or documentation submitted is not in accord with the findings herein, such testimony or documentation is not credited.

References to testimony from the transcript of the hearing will be cited as (Tr. p. __). References to relevant exhibits from the Administrative Record will be cited as (Bd. Ex. #__), with possible reference to the page number of each exhibit as (R __).

A.

STATUTES TO BE CONSTRUED

The following provisions of the Illinois Pension Code apply in this case:

40 ILCS 5/3-114.1 - Disability Pension - Line of Duty

If a police officer as the result of sickness, accident or injury in or resulting from the performance of an act of duty, is found to be physically or mentally disabled of service in the police department, so as to render necessary his or her suspension or retirement from the police service, the police officer shall be entitled to a disability retirement pension equal to the greatest of (1) 65% of the salary attached to the rank on the police force held by the office rat the date of suspension of duty or retirement, (2) the retirement pension that the officer would be eligible to receive if he or she retired (but not including any automatic annual increase in that retirement pension), or (3) the pension provided under subsection (d), if applicable. A police officer shall be considered "on duty" while on any assignment approved by the chief of the police department of the municipality he or she serves, whether the assignment is within or outside the municipality.

40 ILCS 5/3-114.2 - Disability Pension - Not on duty

A police officer who becomes disabled as a result of any cause other than the performance of an act of duty, and who is found to be physically or mentally disabled so as to render necessary his or her suspension or retirement from police service in the police department, shall be entitled to a disability pension of 50% of the salary attached to the officer's rank on the police force at the date of suspension of duty or retirement.

40 ILCS 5/3-115 - Certificate of Disability

A disability pension shall not be paid unless there is filed with the board certificates of the police officer's disability subscribed and sworn to by the police officer if not under legal disability, or by a representative if the officer is under legal disability, and by the police surgeon (if there be one) and 3 practicing physicians selected by the board. The board may require other evidence of disability.

40 ILCS 5/5-113 - Act of Duty

"Act of duty": Any act of police duty inherently involving special risk, not ordinarily assumed by a citizen in the ordinary walks of life, imposed on a policeman by the statutes of this State or by the ordinances or police regulations of the city in which this Article is in effect or by a special assignment; or any act of heroism performed in the city having for its direct purpose the saving of the life or property of a person other than the policeman.

B.

ISSUES TO BE DECIDED

In reaching its decision in the case, the Board must decide the following issues:

- (A) Is Applicant mentally disabled from service in the Lakemoor Police

 Department ("Department")?
- (B) If so, was her disability caused by an act of duty?

C.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on a preponderance of the evidence, the Pension Board makes the following factual findings:

- The Pension Board held a hearing to adjudicate Applicant's disability claim on March 17,
 The Pension Board convened in person with Applicant and her attorney appearing by
 (Tr. p. 1, 4-5).
 - 2) Applicant was represented by attorney Keith Karlson at the hearing. (Tr. p. 4).
- 3) Pension Board Exhibits one (1) through sixteen (16) were admitted into evidence at the hearing without objection. This includes, as Board Exhibit 16, a video of the July 26, 2018 officer-involved shooting ("OIS") incident involving Applicant. (Tr. p. 9).
- 4) Applicant entered into evidence the Collective Bargaining Agreement as Exhibit seventeen (17) at the hearing without objection. (Tr. p. 40).
 - 5) Applicant's disability claim is based on her mental condition. (Tr. p.39).

- 6) Applicant asserts that she has post-traumatic stress disorder ("PTSD") and anxiety with depressive features, both of which derive from the OIS that occurred on July 26, 2018. (Tr. p. 10).
 - 7) Applicant has treated with multiple mental health professionals. (Tr. p. 13, 22).
- 8) Applicant's diagnoses include, but are not limited to, PTSD, depression, panic attacks, and anxiety. (Bd. Ex. #3, 7, 11, 13).
 - 9) Applicant filed her disability pension application on April 15, 2019. (Tr. p. 39).

Relevant Background & Work Status Information

- 10) Applicant was twenty-nine years old on the date of the hearing. (Tr. p. 33).
- 11) Applicant is married with no children. (Tr. p. 34).
- 12) Applicant received her part-time appointment to the Department on June 15, 2017. (Tr. p. 54).
- 13) Applicant received her probationary appointment to the Department on June 18, 2018. (Tr. p. 54).
- 14) Applicant was not subjected to a pre-employment fitness for duty examination or a pre-employment physical exam when she started with the Department. (Tr. p. 35).
- 15) Applicant's rank the last time she was on unrestricted duty was Patrol Officer. (Tr. p. 34).
 - 16) The Department's job description requires a Patrol Officer to:
 - "Patrol[] the city in a patrol car on assignment for the purpose of observing area of
 possible criminal activity or other conditions that might endanger public safety...."
 - "[H]ave [the] ability to safely operate vehicle both day and night and observe criminal activity."
 - "Apprehend[], arrest[], and detain[] criminal suspects and law violators when necessary."

- "[H]ave strength, coordination, and visual ability to effectively shoot a handgun and shotgun."
- "Work[] in stressful, high risk situations."
- "[B]e able to handle stress, noise, crowds, fights, gunfire, and disciplinary action without emotional interference."
- "Take[] active charge in serious or unusual situations."
- "Make[] decisions at crime scene which may be centered around life-or-death situations; decisions are based on the safest procedures to be followed to ensure safety to individuals involved and fellow officers." (Bd. Ex. #5, R 269-70).
- 17) Applicant was terminated from the Department in August of 2019. (Tr. p. 36).
- 18) Applicant filed a Worker's Compensation claim relating to the incident which she alleges caused her disability. The status of that claim remained pending as of the disability hearing date. (Tr. p. 38).
- 19) Applicant received PEDA benefits for the incident which she alleges caused her disability. Those benefits expired in August of 2020. (Tr. p. 38).
- 20) Applicant has been receiving temporary total disability payments representing 66 2/3 percent of her salary since August 2020. Applicant continued to receive those benefits at the time of the hearing. (Tr. p. 38).

Applicant's April 21, 2018 Incident

- 21) On April 21, 2018, at approximately 9:00 pm, Applicant pulled over a vehicle that was swerving. The driver was not intoxicated but was unable to drive. The driver's husband was called to pick her up. Applicant waited in her squad car with her lights on for the husband to arrive. While waiting for the husband, Applicant's squad car was struck from behind by a drunk driver. Applicant was thrown from the car. (Bd. Ex. #5, R 284).
- 22) Applicant was diagnosed with a concussion and was off from work while recovering for approximately six weeks. This was the only gap in her employment with the Department prior to the OIS in July 2018. (Tr. p. 35-36).

- 23) Applicant was offered a full-time position with the Department upon returning. (Tr. p. 36).
- 24) When Applicant returned to work following the April 2018 incident, she began to experience heightened levels of anxiety and nervousness not rising to the level of PTSD. (Bd. Ex. #5, R 284).

The July 26, 2018 Officer Involved Shooting Incident

25) The July 26, 2018, officer involved shooting is described, in pertinent part, by Chief Dave Godlewski:

"[A]t approximately 5:00 am Applicant and Officer Loiacono became involved in a deadly force encounter with a subject wanted for murder out of Pennsylvania. While on routine patrol, Applicant observed a car backed into a gravel service road leading back to the Lakemoor Golf Course. The vehicle had no front license plate, so Applicant called it in and exited her car to investigate. The offender was asleep in his car. Applicant began to question him as to why he was in this particular area. Applicant then walked to the back of the vehicle to read and call in the license plate. After a brief conversation with the offender, he produced a handgun and pushed the gun into Applicant's chest. Applicant instinctively grabbed the barrel of the handgun and pushed it away from her at which time she heard several "hammer strikes" as the offender pulled the trigger at least twice. The two continued to struggle over control of the handgun and the offender continued to attempt to fire the weapon at Applicant. Officer Loiacono arrived as Applicant and the offender were engaged in the fight over the gun and he also observed the offender raising a second weapon with his other hand. It was at that point that Officer Loiacono took one step to his right to

get a clear field of fire and discharged one round from his duty pistol which immediately killed the offender and ended the confrontation." (Bd. Ex. #4 R 53).

Applicant's Relevant Testimony at the March 17, 2021 Hearing

- 26) Applicant began treatment with psychotherapist Dr. Carrie Steiner on August 9, 2018 as mandated by the Department. (Tr. p. 13).
 - 27) Applicant continued treatment with Dr. Steiner until November 2018. (Bd. Ex. #2, R 24).
- 28) Applicant verifies the accuracy of Dr. Steiner's therapy notes. (Tr. p. 14); see also (Bd. Ex. #2).
- 29) Applicant testified that her post-incident anxiety attacks began the night of the incident at 3:00 am after she was back at her home. In that attack, Applicant was unable to catch her breath, her limbs went numb, her stomach knotted up, and when she finally caught her breath, she was sobbing. (Tr. p. 14-15).
- 30) Applicant stated that she had never had an anxiety attack prior to the July 26th, 2018 incident. (Tr. p. 15).
- 31) Applicant stated that her anxiety-inducing triggers include: the darkness of night, loud noises, vehicles parked in strange locations, seeing other officers perform traffic stops, male drivers, and situations in which she could not anticipate what would happen next. (Tr. p. 15).
- 32) Applicant testified that she was cleared by Dr. Steiner to resume unrestricted duty as a patrol officer, with some restrictions. (Tr. p. 17).
- 33) Applicant testified that once she was back on patrol, she could not perform traffic stops or clear buildings because she could not function in the darkness of the night. (Tr. p. 18).
- 34) As a result, Applicant stated that she realized returning to duty was not a good idea. She stated there were many reasons that led her to this conclusion; one such reason is that due to the

nature of the Department's 12-hour shift schedule, working in the darkness of night was unavoidable. She stated that she would park in a gas station parking lot because it was well-lit and that the darkness of the night would otherwise paralyze her in fear. (Tr. p. 17).

- 35) Applicant described numerous other situations in which her fear and anxiety significantly hindered her ability to perform her job. (Tr. p. 18).
- 36) Applicated testified that her inability to adequately perform her duties put members of the public, herself, and her co-workers at risk. Applicant stated specifically that "If I'm not able to respond appropriately or keep my body...and my mind in control during these activities, that certainly puts my partner at risk because then I can't do what I need to do for them and for the community." (Tr. p. 20).
- 37) On September 22, 2018, Dr. Steiner decided to put Applicant back on light duty because she realized Applicant was not ready to return to working on the street as a patrol officer. (Tr. p. 21).
- 38) In November 2018, Applicant discontinued seeing Dr. Steiner and began seeing Dr. Robin Kroll for psychotherapy treatment related to her PTSD. (Tr. p. 21-22).
- 39) Treatment with Dr. Kroll included Eye Motion Desensitization ("EMDR") and relaxation techniques. Applicant testified that these techniques have helped. (Tr. p. 22).
- 40) Applicant defined her "triggers" as a stimulus which provokes a physical response. She explained that these responses vary in both type and severity ranging from a change in breathing, on the mild end of the spectrum, to an anxiety or panic attack, on the extreme end. (Tr. p. 23).
- 41) Applicant stated that she suffers from anxiety every day and that she experiences anxiety/panic attacks less often, weekly, depending on what she is exposed to. (Tr. p. 24).

- 42) Applicant stated that she also suffers from "night terrors," described as nightmares in which she is in danger; a situation in which someone is trying to kill her or where she will die at the end of the nightmare. Applicant stated she wakes up from the nightmare sweating, out-of-breath, and disoriented, not knowing where she is. (Tr. p. 25).
- 43) Applicant testified that she had not suffered from night terrors prior to the July 26, 2018 incident. She stated these began within the first week after the incident and occur once a week. (Tr. p. 26).
- 44) Applicant testified that she also suffers from having flashbacks of specific moments from the shooting five days a week, multiple times throughout the day. Applicant described these as "a few specific moments from the shooting that replay non-stop" with the moment in which the suspect's gun came to her forehead being the one that occurs most frequently. (Tr. p. 26-27).
- 45) Applicant stated that these flashbacks "just happen" without explanation; there is no triggering event that causes them to occur. (Tr. p. 27).
- 46) Applicant described her other physiological symptoms and triggers which include loud noises, being alone, seeing an offer performing an act of duty, seeing someone's eyes, and seeing an individual that looks like the shooter. (Tr. p. 28).
- 47) Applicant described with specificity how her fear of the darkness has impacted her daily life. Applicant won't go out at night alone, she won't go in her basement at night, and her home security system has to be armed in order for her to sleep. In general, she avoids darkness. (Tr. p. 28).
- 48) Applicant also testified that being alone, like she was on the night of the incident, is a trigger. She stated that she feels vulnerable and that she questions her ability to be able to do what is necessary if the situation arises. (Tr. p. 29).

- 49) Applicant testified that she must be able to hear what is going on in case someone tries to break into her home and because of this she avoids certain parts of her home. She testified that a sudden loud noise, even when expected, has led to her having a "full-blown anxiety attack" and that she avoids loud noises altogether. (Tr. p. 30).
- 50) Applicant testified that she doesn't believe she can ever again perform the full and unrestricted duties of a police officer. Applicant explained that due to her triggers she could not perform the job safely and effectively and feels like she would be putting her partners and the community at risk. (Tr. p. 32).
 - 51) Applicant continues to seek psychotherapy treatment twice a week. (Tr. p. 44).
- 52) Applicant is not aware of any permanent, full-time light duty positions within the Department. She had a light duty position, but she was told that it was temporary and would not be allowed to continue. No permanent, full-time light duty position was ever offered. (Tr. p. 37, 57).
- 53) Applicant testified that she requested to be able to work only during the daytime hours but that the Department denied this request. (Tr. p. 57).

Medical Records of Treatment Following the July 26, 2018 Incident

- 1. Dr. Carrie Steiner: First Responders Wellness Center
- 54) On August 9, 2018, Applicant began treatment with Dr. Carrie Steiner at the First Responders Wellness Center. Dr. Steiner evaluated Applicant and concluded that Applicant should not return to work at that time due to her common trauma responses. Dr. Steiner also wrote that Applicant should be re-evaluated before returning to work in the future. (Bd. Ex. #2, R 20).

- 55) On August 16, 2018, Applicant was again seen by Dr. Steiner. Dr. Steiner noted that Applicant had acute stress disorder due to the events that occurred on July 26, 2018. Due to Applicant's ongoing trauma symptoms, Dr. Steiner recommended that Applicant should not go back to work at that time. Dr. Steiner also encouraged Applicant to try EMDR to decrease her symptoms and educated Applicant about this technique. (Bd. Ex. #2, R 20).
- 56) On August 23, 2018, Applicant visited Dr. Steiner. Dr. Steiner noted that Applicant reported a decrease in the intensity and duration of her symptoms and expressed a desire to return to work. Dr. Steiner noted, however, that due to the continuation of her symptoms Applicant should not return to work. Instead, Dr. Steiner would reassess Applicant on September 4, 2018 for a possible return to light duty. (Bd. Ex. #2, R 20-21).
- 57) On September 4, 2018, Applicant reported to Dr. Steiner that she was feeling better and was ready to return to work. Dr. Steiner feared this was an attempt by Applicant to deny her symptoms in order to return to work. Due to Applicant's lack of reported symptoms, Dr. Steiner suggested Applicant was able to return to work light duty. However, at the urging of Applicant, Dr. Steiner wrote a letter recommending Applicant return for full duty. (Bd. Ex. #2 R 21).
- 58) On September 28, 2018, Dr. Steiner noted that Applicant had participated in a group debriefing. After the debriefing, the Department Chief shared concerns about Applicant to Dr. Steiner. The Chief told Dr. Steiner that unnamed coworkers of Applicant reported that Applicant was not responding to calls for service very quickly. Additionally, an unnamed male coworker reported directly to Dr. Steiner that he felt that Applicant needed help. Applicant told him "she was going to say she is fine so that she could return to work," but the male coworker felt that she was not and should not return to work. Additionally, Applicant had requested that she only work the day shift. (Bd. Ex. #2, R 21-22).

- 59) The Chief then suggested, and Dr. Steiner agreed, that Applicant be taken off of work in order to be further assessed. Dr. Steiner thus restricted Applicant from working, pending evaluation at a future visit. Applicant was very upset about this decision. (Bd. Ex. #2, R 21-22).
- 60) On October 4, 2018, Applicant visited Dr. Steiner, who decided that Applicant was not ready to return to full duty. However, Dr. Steiner did recommend Applicant return to light duty in order to assess her ability to return to full duty in the future. (Bd. Ex. #2, R 22).
- 61) On October 10, 2018, Applicant saw Dr. Steiner and reported that she had no issues working light duty. Applicant expressed a strong desire to return to full duty. Applicant underwent EMDR therapy with Dr. Steiner who noted that progress was made. Applicant remained on light duty. (Bd. Ex. #2, R 22).
- 62) On October 15, 2018, Applicant again received EDMR therapy from Dr. Steiner. Dr. Steiner noted progress, but Applicant remained on light duty. (Bd. Ex. #2, R 23).
- 63) On October 18, 2018, Applicant received EDMR therapy from Dr. Steiner who noted positive progress. Due to this continued progress, Dr. Steiner recommended that Applicant return to full and unrestricted duty. (Bd. Ex. #2, R 23).
- 64) November 8, 2018 was Applicant's last visit with Dr. Steiner. Dr. Steiner felt that Applicant may be underreporting symptoms, however, Dr. Steiner stated that Applicant had completed therapy at that time. (Bd. Ex. #2, R 24).

2. Dr. Robin Kroll Psy D BCIA

- 65) On November 26, 2018, Applicant began treatment with Dr. Kroll for Chronic PTSD resulting from the officer involved shooting that occurred on July 26, 2018. (Bd. Ex. #3, R 35).
- 66) Dr. Kroll performed an evaluation and a Quantitative Electroencephalography (qEEG). (Bd. Ex. #3, R 31).

- 67) Dr. Kroll diagnosed applicant with anxiety mixed with depressive features and PTSD. (Bd. Ex. #3, R 31).
- 68) On March 19, 2019, Dr. Kroll wrote to the Department Chief and recommended that Applicant be placed on limited/light duty while she continued her therapy. This recommendation was made due to Applicant's struggles in performing her duties, in particular, as it related to her fear of making traffic stops, working at night, and not feeling confident in drawing her weapon. (Bd. Ex. #3, R 35).
- 69) On April 2, 2019, Dr. Kroll provided a progress report to the Department Chief. Dr. Kroll stated that Applicant had participated in thirteen (13) individual therapy sessions and one (1) group session with an additional group session scheduled for April 12, 2019. Dr. Kroll noted that Applicant had been compliant and motivated to return to work but that she was still experiencing significant symptoms of anxiety and PTSD. Applicant remained on light duty. (Bd. Ex. #3, R 36).
- 70) On April 29, 2019, Applicant emailed Dr. Kroll stating that she believed it in her best interest not to return to unrestricted duty at that time. Applicant outlined her reasoning in detail: she stated that the continuation of her fear, anxiety, and PTSD from the officer involved shooting made the effective performance of her job as a patrol officer impossible. Applicant informed Dr. Kroll and the Department Chief that she would be applying for disability. (Bd. Ex. #3, R 32).
- 71) On May 30, 2019, Dr. Kroll provided a progress report to the Chief. Dr. Kroll stated that Applicant had been compliant with her therapy and had participated in twenty (20) individual therapy sessions and three (3) group sessions. However, due to the concerns raised by Applicant and the continuation of her symptoms, Dr. Kroll recommended that Applicant go on duty-disability. (Bd. Ex. #3, R 41).

Independent Medical Evaluation Findings

- 72) Pursuant to 40 ILCS 5/3-115, Applicant underwent independent medical evaluations ("IMEs") by four (4) independent medical examiners who were asked to certify whether she is currently disabled from service as a police officer, and if so, the cause of her disability. Those providers were: Dr. Tony Fletcher, Dr. Stephen Dinwiddie, Dr. Henry Conroe, and Dr. Alexander Obolsky.
- 73) Applicant appeared before Dr. Tony Fletcher on January 11, 2020. Dr. Fletcher opined in pertinent part as follows:

"Applicant developed mild symptoms consistent with an Acute Stress Disorder following the April 12, 2018 incident in which she was struck from behind by a drunk driver while sitting in her squad car. However, she developed symptoms consistent with PTSD almost immediately after the July 26, 2018 shooting incident. The result of her psychological testing is consistent with individuals who have PTSD as well as ongoing depression and anxiety. She continues to experience symptomology [of PTSD] at a disabling level that renders her unable to currently perform her duties as a police officer. The cause of her PTSD is the July 26, 2018 shooting event." (Bd. Ex. #7, R 286).

74) Applicant appeared before Dr. Stephen Dinwiddie on February 18, 2020. Dr. Dinwiddie opined in pertinent part as follows:

"Her diagnosis is Other Specified Trauma – and Stressor-related Disorder. [T]his category applies to presentations in which symptoms characteristic of a trauma-and stressor-related disorder that cause clinically significant distress or impairment in social, occupational, or other important areas of functioning ... but do not meet the full criteria for any of the disorders of the class [e.g., PTSD]. To the extent that Applicant can be considered disabled from working as a police officer, the officer-involved shooting of July 26, 2018 likely caused certain anxiety-related symptoms and either caused or exacerbated others, specifically, difficulty in driving at night and making traffic stops." (Bd. Ex. #9, R 338-44).

75) Applicant appeared before Dr. Henry Conroe on February 25, 2020. Dr. Conroe opined in pertinent part as follows:

"[Applicant] suffers from PTSD which prevents her from performing the full and unrestricted duties of a police officer. I base this on the traumatic event that occurred on July 26, 2018 that threatened her life with death or serious injury. The PTSD affects her ability to handle stressful situations safely and effectively, to make appropriate stops of citizens, and to react without hesitance to dangerous situations or ones that are potentially so. I saw no evidence that her feelings about the department caused or contributed to her disability or caused her to magnify symptoms. In my opinion, I don't see additional treatment as likely allowing her to resume full duty as a police officer." (Bd. Ex. #11, R 383-86).

76) Applicant appeared before Dr. Alexander Obolsky on June 30, 2020. Dr. Obolsky opined in pertinent part as follows:

"It is my opinion that Applicant exhibits the condition of PTSD. Applicant's PTSD is a disabling condition that prevents the applicant from performing the full and unrestricted duties of a police officer. The combination of the traumatic events of the April 21, 2018 motor vehicle collision and July 26, 2018 shooting incident led to Applicant's PTSD. Applicant did not experience a preexisting condition of mental ill-being. After the April 2018 motor vehicle collision, Applicant developed partial symptom of other specified trauma-related disorder. After the July 2018 shooting, Applicant developed PTSD. There is no evidence that Applicant's feelings of not being supported by her department caused or contributed to her disability." (Bd. Ex. # 13 R 400, 419-426).

77) None of these providers cited a specific future treatment that would offer a reasonable likelihood of allowing Applicant to resume unrestricted police duty.

D.

<u>ANALYSIS</u>

Initially, it must be remembered that an administrative proceeding is not a partisan proceeding with the agency on one side arrayed against the individual on the other. *Abrahamson*

v. Illinois Department of Professional Regulation, 153 Ill. 2d 76, 94 (1992). Rather, it is an administrative investigation instituted for the purpose of ascertaining and making findings of fact. Id. Due to their personal knowledge of the peculiar physical and emotional demands of being a police officer, the members of the police pension board are in the best position to determine whether an applicant is fit for duty or qualified for membership or benefits. Sanders v. Springfield Police Pension Fund, 112 Ill. App. 3d 1087, 1091-92 (4th Dist. 1983). Moreover, it is particularly within the province of the pension board to resolve conflicts in the evidence and to determine the credibility of witnesses. Peterson v. Board of Trustees of the Des Plaines

Fireman's Pension Fund, 5 Ill. App. 3d 180, 185 (1st Dist. 1971). The courts do not substitute for that of the pension board in either of the above such matters. Id.

An applicant for a disability pension under Article 3 of the Illinois Pension Code bears the burden of proving her entitlement to a disability pension. *Marconi v. Chicago heights Police Pension Board*, 225 Ill. 2d 497, 532 (2006). In rendering its decision, pension board trustees must apply the law as set out in the Pension Code according to the statute's clear language and the legislature's intent. The elements that a police officer must prove in order to obtain a line-of-duty disability pension under Article 3 of the Illinois Pension Code are as follows:

- 1) The person is a police officer;
- The police officer is physically or mentally disabled from the duties of a police officer as the result of sickness, accident or injury;
- The sickness, accident or injury was incurred in or resulted from the performance of an act of police duty; and
- 4) The disability renders necessary the police officer being placed on disability pension.

Wall v. Schaumburg Police Pension Board, 178 III. App. 3d 438, 442 (1st Dist. 1988).

The Pension Board has carefully considered all of the evidence and Applicant's testimony in this matter. The Pension Board finds that Applicant has proven all of the elements necessary for a line-of-duty disability pension.

1. Applicant was a police officer at the time of her claim

First, there is no dispute that Applicant was a police officer as defined under Article 3 of the Pension Code at the time she submitted her disability application. Applicant submitted her application before she separated from service. Therefore, the Pension Board finds that Applicant has satisfied the first element necessary to obtain a line-of-duty disability pension.

2. Due to her PTSD, Applicant is mentally disabled from the duties of a police officer

Second, the Pension Board finds that Applicant has proven, by a preponderance of the evidence, that she is mentally disabled from the duties of a police officer due to her PTSD. As an initial matter, the Pension Board finds that Applicant was a credible witness. Applicant truthfully and honestly described her symptoms as she experienced them. The Pension Board does not believe she was misleading, misdescribing, or exaggerating what she had experienced and is experiencing.

A. The evidence from medical providers supports the conclusion that Applicant is disabled

The Pension Board's conclusion that Applicant is mentally disabled is supported by three
of the four IME providers. Dr. Fletcher, Dr. Conroe, and Dr. Obolsky all concluded that
Applicant continues to experience symptoms of PTSD at a disabling level. They all concluded
that this level of symptoms renders Applicant unable to perform her duties as a police officer.

Dr. Conroe more specifically wrote that Applicant's PTSD would affect her ability to handle
stressful situations safely and effectively. The Pension Board finds these conclusions persuasive

and well-reasoned, and gives them great weight. The Pension Board accords less weight to the opinions in Dr. Dinwiddie's IME report. While Dr. Dinwiddie did diagnose Applicant with a mental injury – other specified trauma-and stressor-related disorder – he offered an unclear opinion as to whether this diagnosis prevented Applicant from performing her duties as a police officer. This contrasts with the clear opinions of the other three IME providers.

The Certificate of Disability provision in Article 3 of the Pension Code, 40 ILCS 5/3-115, does not require a unanimous finding of disability among the IME providers in order for an applicant to prevail on a claim for a disability pension. *Wade v. North Chicago Police Pension Board*, 226 Ill. 2d 485, 511-15 (2007). The Pension Board is persuaded by the opinions of Drs. Fletcher, Conroe, and Obolsky, who all agreed that Applicant's PTSD affects her ability to handle stressful situations safely and effectively disables her from performing unrestricted police officer duties.

The Pension Board also notes that Dr. Kroll, one of Applicant's treating providers, opined that Applicant should not return to unrestricted police duty. Dr. Steiner reached the opposite conclusion, but Applicant's treatment with Dr. Kroll is more recent. For that reason, it is a better measure of Applicant's current mental fitness for police duty. Dr. Kroll recommended duty disability for Applicant, and the Pension Board notes its agreement.

B. Applicant's testimony supports the conclusion that Applicant is disabled

Applicant's testimony—which, again, the Pension Board credits as accurate—shows that she is disabled from unrestricted police work due to her mental condition. Unrestricted police work with the Department requires Applicant to patrol the city in a patrol car at all hours, including at night; observe criminal activity; detain criminal suspects; effectively shoot a handgun and shotgun; work in stressful and high-risk situations; and handle stress, noise, crowds,

fights, gunfire, and other occurrences without emotional interference. Applicant has described overwhelming anxiety and sensory issues that prevent her from performing the above-described duties. Applicant stated that her anxiety-inducing triggers include the darkness of night, loud noises, vehicles parked in strange locations, seeing other officers perform traffic stops, male drivers, and situations in which she could not anticipate what would happen next. Applicant would inevitably encounter these triggers on a regular basis when performing unrestricted police duty. When triggered, her reaction can be as severe as a panic attack. Suffice it to say, she could not respond appropriately while having such a reaction.

Applicant attempted to return to unrestricted duty without success. In light of her mental condition, this is not surprising. Applicant provided a detailed account of this experience and the corresponding fear and anxiety that resulted in her inability to effectively perform the job. For example, in order to avoid darkness, she often found herself parked in lighted parking lots.

Additionally, Applicant testified that she could not perform traffic stops or clear buildings. If she returned to unrestricted police duty, Applicant would not always be able to avoid these triggers. Ultimately, Applicant felt that she would put the public, her partner, and herself at risk if she returned. The Pension Board agrees and finds her disabled.

C. Conclusion on the issue of disability

Therefore, based upon the medical providers' opinions and Applicant's testimony, the Pension Board finds that Applicant's mental state could easily and foreseeably interfere with her ability to make the split-second decisions necessary to perform the duties of a police officer. As a result, Applicant is mentally disabled from unrestricted police duty. The Pension Board finds that Applicant has satisfied element #2 of a line-of-duty disability pension claim.

3. Applicant's PTSD was caused by an "Act of Duty" involving a "Special Risk"

Third, the Pension Board finds that Applicant's injury was caused by or resulted from an act of duty involving special risk. *Johnson v. Retirement Board of Policemen's Annuity and Benefit Fund*, 114 Ill. 2d 518, 521-22 (1986). There are two issues embedded within this third element. First, was Applicant performing an "act of duty"? Second, does a causal relationship exists between the act of duty performed and Applicant's disabling condition?

A. The incident occurred during an act of duty

First, the Pension Board finds that Applicant was performing an "act of duty" when she sustained her injury. Article 3 of the Pension Code provides that a police officer "shall be considered 'on duty' while on any assignment approved by the chief of the police department of the municipality he or she serves, whether the assignment is within or outside the municipality." 40 ILCS 5/3-114.1. Elsewhere, Article 5 of the Pension Code describes specific actions that are "acts of duty" by providing that an act of duty is "[a]ny act of police duty inherently involving special risk, not ordinarily assumed by a citizen in the ordinary walks of life ... or any act of heroism performed in the city having for its direct purpose the saving of the life or property of a person other than the policeman." 40 ILCS 5/5-113 (emphasis added). This Article 5 definition applies in Article 3 cases. Martin v. Board of Trustees of the Police Pension Fund of the Village of Shiloh, 2017 IL App (5th) 160344, ¶ 17. The conduct need not be an inherently dangerous activity to constitute a "special risk." Johnson, 114 III. 2d at 521.

Here, Applicant was on duty the night of the July 26, 2018 incident. Applicant was on her Department-assigned routine patrol when she came across a parked vehicle without a front license plate that she deemed suspicious. Applicant was not at liberty to ignore the vehicle; she called it in and investigated. Her investigation led to a face-to-face, armed confrontation with a wanted murder suspect in which that individual pointed a gun at Applicant and attempted to kill

her. Any time an officer must approach an unknown individual in a vehicle, that is a "special risk" that is not encountered in civilian life. In this case, that special risk manifested itself in a life-threatening encounter. Therefore, the Pension Board finds that Applicant was engaging in an act of duty when she confronted the subject on July 26, 2018 and he tried to kill her. The Pension Board finds this was an on-duty action that involved special risk. As such, the Pension Board finds that the July 26, 2018 incident was an act of duty as defined by the Pension Code.

B. Applicant's disabling condition was caused by this act of duty

The Pension Board also finds that Applicant's disabling condition was caused by the officer-involved shooting on July 26, 2018. In *Johnson*, the Illinois Supreme Court held that a "duty disability is awarded when an officer is disabled as the result of injury incurred in the performance of an act of duty." *Johnson*, 114 Ill. 2d at 521. That is what occurred here.

Prior to the night of July 26, 2018, Applicant was on full and unrestricted duty.

Applicant credibly testified, and the Pension Board finds, that Applicant had no relevant psychological condition prior to at least the April 2018 on-duty incident. At the time just before the July 2018 officer-involved shooting, Applicant had no significant mental health symptoms. The disabling symptoms related to Applicant's PTSD began immediately after the officer-involved shooting. Applicant described an anxiety attack less than 24 hours after the OIS, which was triggered by not being able to see if anyone was waiting for her behind the shower curtain at her home. Applicant also testified that she began suffering from night terrors for the first time within the first week following the incident.

The Pension Board's finding is supported by the conclusions of Applicant's treating physicians, Dr. Carrie Steiner and Dr. Robin Kroll, as well as three of the four IMEs: Dr. Fletcher, Dr. Conroe, and Dr. Obolsky. The Pension Board gives weight to these opinions. Dr.

Steiner stated that Applicant had not experienced her current symptoms prior to the incident and that her disorder is a result of "witnessing a killing and directly experiencing an attempted murder of her life." Dr. Kroll repeatedly connected Applicant's PTSD to the OIS. Dr. Fletcher concluded that Applicant developed PTSD shortly after the incident and that the cause of her PTSD was the July 26, 2018 incident. Dr. Conroe reached the same conclusion stating that "the documented threat to her life was of such severity that it led to the symptoms of [PTSD]." Dr. Obolsky also concluded that the July 26, 2018 incident caused Applicant's PTSD, writing, "Applicant did not experience a pre-existing condition of mental ill-being" and that "after the [July] 2018 shooting, Applicant developed PTSD."

The Pension Board acknowledges Dr. Dinwiddie's somewhat contrary conclusion. Dr. Dinwiddie wrote, "[T]o the extent that Applicant can be considered disabled from working as a police officer, the officer-involved shooting of July 26, 2018 likely caused certain anxiety-related symptoms and either caused or exacerbated others, specifically, difficulty in driving at night and making traffic stops." Dr. Dinwiddie also wrote went on to write that "Applicant's feelings of not being supported by her department exacerbated her symptoms." This latter conclusion finds little support elsewhere in the record and is not shared by the other three IME providers. In fact, Dr. Conroe and Dr. Obolsky specifically rejected this idea. Even Dr. Dinwiddie struggled to attribute Applicant's condition to something other than the OIS. The Pension Board therefore gives his conclusion little weight.

For these reasons, the Pension Board concludes that Applicant was injured during an act of duty, and that injury medically caused her disabling PTSD.

4. It is necessary to place Applicant on a disability pension

Finally, the Pension Board finds that it is necessary to place Applicant on a disability pension. In order for a police officer to be entitled to a disability pension, there cannot be available an existing full-time light duty position that he or she could perform. Thurow v. Police Pension Board of Village of Fox Lake, 180 Ill. App. 3d 683 (2nd Dist. 1989). Here, for a period of time, Applicant worked a daytime only light duty assignment. However, she was informed that that position was only temporary and would not be allowed to continue. There is no evidence that there is a full-time light duty position that Applicant could perform. Moreover, additional medical and psychological care might allow Applicant to return to unrestricted police duty. However, no medical provider cited a specific treatment that would offer a reasonable likelihood of returning her to duty. Therefore, the Pension Board finds that it is necessary to place her on a disability pension.

5. Conclusion to Analysis

Based on the aforementioned arguments and the totality of the evidence contained in the administrative record, the Pension Board finds that Applicant is entitled to a line-of-duty disability pension. Therefore, Applicant's application for a line-of-duty disability pension is GRANTED.

E.

CONCLUSIONS

1. The Board of Trustees of the Lakemoor Pension Fund has jurisdiction over this subject-matter.

2. Applicant, Patrol Officer Brianna Tedesco (McCarty), having been found eligible to apply for a disability pension, has met her burden of proof as it relates to her "line of duty" disability claim under section 3-114.1 of the Illinois Pension Code.

3. Her pension shall be based upon 65% of Applicant's salary attached to rank effective the date of her removal from payroll, less all applicable offsets.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:

(A) That a warrant of payment be issued to Applicant, Patrol Officer Brianna Tedesco (McCarty), pursuant to 40 ILCS 5/3-133 of the Illinois Pension Code, stating Applicant's entitlement to a line of duty disability pension, less any applicable offsets, effective the date of her removal from payroll.

(B) The line of duty disability pension shall commence as soon as administratively possible and remain effective until further order of the Pension Board.

A. MOTIONS:

 On March 17, 2021, Trustee Westcott made a motion, seconded by Trustee Lyons, to grant Brianna Tedesco's application for a line of duty disability pension pursuant to 40 ILCS 5/3-114.1. Roll Call Vote:

Ayes - Westcott, Lyons, Russell.

Nays - None.

Abstain - None.

Absent - None.

Motion Carried.

2.	On Civaost 4, 2021, Trustee 4005 made a
	motion, seconded by Trustee Westcott, to approve this written
	decision and order and certificate of payment. Roll Call Vote:
	Aves-Bursell, Wans, Westcott
	<u>Navs</u> –
	Abstain –
	Absent -
	Motion Carried.
	BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LAKEMOOR POLICE PENSION FUND
	Wendell Russell, President Kevin Lyons, Trustee
	Rocky Westcott, Trustee
DATED:	8/4/21

THIS IS A FINAL AND APPEALABLE DECISION. THIS DECISION CAN BE REVIEWED IN THE CIRCUIT COURT BY FILING A COMPLAINT FOR ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW AND SERVING SUMMONS WITHIN 35 DAYS FROM THE DATE THAT A COPY OF THIS DECISION WAS SERVED UPON THE PARTY AFFECTED THEREBY. THE DATE OF SERVICE IS THE DATE SET FORTH IN THE CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE THAT THE COPY OF THIS DECISION WAS MAILED.

BEFORE THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LAKEMOOR POLICE PENSION FUND

IN THE MATTER OF THE DISABILITY PENSION OF:) is							
BRIANNA TEDESCO,)							
Applicant.)							
CERTIFICATE OF PAYMENT								
Pursuant to sections 3-114.1 and 3-133 of the Illinois Pension Code (40 ILCS 5/3-114.1 and 40 ILCS 5/3-133), this is to certify that Applicant, Brianna Tedesco, is entitled to payment of a "Line of Duty" Disability Pension in accordance with the findings set forth in the attached written Decision and Order and Benefit Calculator Report retroactive to the date of her removal from the payroll, until further order by the Board of Trustees of the Lakemoor Police Pension Fund.								
	LAKEMOOR POLICE PENSION BOARD							
	Wendell Russell, President							
	Kevin Lyons							
DATED: 8/4/21								

BEFORE THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE LAKEMOOR POLICE PENSION FUND

	THE MATTER OF THE ABILITY PENSION OF: BRIANNA TEDESCO, Applicant.))))	
		IIFICATE OF SERVICE	
"Dec by FI	I, LAURA J. GOODLOE, on isision and Order' and "Certificate	oath, swear and affirm that I served a copy of the a of Payment" upon the persons whose names are listed	attached d below , 2021.
То:	Brianna Tedesco 2313 Manor Lane McHenry, IL 60051 tedescobm@gmail.com		
	Keith Karlson 12413 South Harlem Ave. Suite 1SE Palos Heights, IL 60463 KKarlson@karlsongarza.com		

Laura J. Goodloe

[X] Under penalties as provided by law pursuant to Section 1-109 of the Code of Civil procedure, the undersigned certifies that the statements set forth in this instrument are true and correct, except as to matters therein stated to be on information and belief and as to such matters the undersigned certifies as aforesaid that he verily believes the same to be true.

EXHIBIT 3

EEOC Form 5 (11/09) Agency(ies) Charge CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION Charge Presented To: No(s): This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act **FEPA** Statement and other information before completing this form. EEOC 440-2020-03105 ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN RIGHTS and EEOC State or local Agency, if any Name (indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.) Home Phone Year of Birth MISS BRIANNA M TEDESCO (708) 912-4242 1991 Street Address City, State and ZIP Code **2313 MANOR LN, MCHENRY, IL 60051** Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprenticeship Committee, or State or Local Government Agency That I Believe Discriminated Against Me or Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULARS below.) No. Employees, Members Phone No. VILLAGE OF LAKEMOOR 15 - 100 Street Address City, State and ZIP Code 28581 ILLINOIS RT 120. LAKEMOOR. IL 60051 Name No. Employees, Members Phone No. Street Address City, State and ZIP Code DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es).) DATE(S) DISCRIMINATION TOOK PLACE Earliest RACE COLOR RELIGION NATIONAL ORIGIN SEX 08-06-2019 08-06-2019 RETALIATION DISABILITY GENETIC INFORMATION OTHER (Specify) CONTINUING ACTION THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)): I began my employment with Respondent in or around June 2017. My most recent position was Police Officer. During my employment, I suffered a disability. Respondent initially accommodated my disability. but then refused. In addition, I was subjected to different terms and condition of employment when Respondent allowed a male officer to remain on light duty. On or about August 6, 2019, I was discharged. I believe I was discriminated against because of my disability, in violation of the Americans with Disability Act of 1990, as amended. I also believe I am being discriminated against because of my, sex, Female, in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended. NOTARY - When necessary for State and Local Agency Requirements I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their procedures. I swear or affirm that I have read the above charge and that it I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. is true to the best of my knowledge, information and belief. SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT Digitally signed by Brianna Tedesco on 04-22-2020 03:33 SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE (month, day, year) PM EDT

CP Enclosure with EEOC Form 5 (11/09)

PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT: Under the Privacy Act of 1974, Pub. Law 93-579, authority to request personal data and its uses are:

- 1. FORM NUMBER/TITLE/DATE. EEOC Form 5, Charge of Discrimination (11/09).
- **2. AUTHORITY.** 42 U.S.C. 2000e-5(b), 29 U.S.C. 211, 29 U.S.C. 626, 42 U.S.C. 12117, 42 U.S.C. 2000ff-6.
- **3. PRINCIPAL PURPOSES.** The purposes of a charge, taken on this form or otherwise reduced to writing (whether later recorded on this form or not) are, as applicable under the EEOC anti-discrimination statutes (EEOC statutes), to preserve private suit rights under the EEOC statutes, to invoke the EEOC's jurisdiction and, where dual-filing or referral arrangements exist, to begin state or local proceedings.
- **4. ROUTINE USES.** This form is used to provide facts that may establish the existence of matters covered by the EEOC statutes (and as applicable, other federal, state or local laws). Information given will be used by staff to guide its mediation and investigation efforts and, as applicable, to determine, conciliate and litigate claims of unlawful discrimination. This form may be presented to or disclosed to other federal, state or local agencies as appropriate or necessary in carrying out EEOC's functions. A copy of this charge will ordinarily be sent to the respondent organization against which the charge is made.
- **5.** WHETHER DISCLOSURE IS MANDATORY; EFFECT OF NOT GIVING INFORMATION. Charges must be reduced to writing and should identify the charging and responding parties and the actions or policies complained of. Without a written charge, EEOC will ordinarily not act on the complaint. Charges under Title VII, the ADA or GINA must be sworn to or affirmed (either by using this form or by presenting a notarized statement or unsworn declaration under penalty of perjury); charges under the ADEA should ordinarily be signed. Charges may be clarified or amplified later by amendment. It is not mandatory that this form be used to make a charge.

NOTICE OF RIGHT TO REQUEST SUBSTANTIAL WEIGHT REVIEW

Charges filed at a state or local Fair Employment Practices Agency (FEPA) that dual-files charges with EEOC will ordinarily be handled first by the FEPA. Some charges filed at EEOC may also be first handled by a FEPA under worksharing agreements. You will be told which agency will handle your charge. When the FEPA is the first to handle the charge, it will notify you of its final resolution of the matter. Then, if you wish EEOC to give Substantial Weight Review to the FEPA's final findings, you must ask us in writing to do so within 15 days of your receipt of its findings. Otherwise, we will ordinarily adopt the FEPA's finding and close our file on the charge.

NOTICE OF NON-RETALIATION REQUIREMENTS

Please **notify** EEOC or the state or local agency where you filed your charge **if retaliation is taken against you or others** who oppose discrimination or cooperate in any investigation or lawsuit concerning this charge. Under Section 704(a) of Title VII, Section 4(d) of the ADEA, Section 503(a) of the ADA and Section 207(f) of GINA, it is unlawful for an *employer* to discriminate against present or former employees or job applicants, for an *employment agency* to discriminate against anyone, or for a *union* to discriminate against its members or membership applicants, because they have opposed any practice made unlawful by the statutes, or because they have made a charge, testified, assisted, or participated in any manner in an investigation,

proceeding, or hearing under the laws. The Equal Pay Act has similar provisions and Section 503(b) of the ADA prohibits coercion, intimidation, threats or interference with anyone for exercising or enjoying, or aiding or encouraging others in their exercise or enjoyment of, rights under the Act.

EXHIBIT 4

IN THE MATT	TER OF THE)							
DISABILITY PENSION OF:)							
)							
BRIAN	NA McCART	Υ,)							
	ь)							
	Recipient.)							
PHYSICIAN'S CERTIFICATE OF DISABILITY									
. In 1	Pate Keu	7 Laine 6 and 1		te that I have re-evaluated					
				rsuant to \$5/3-115 of the					
				mination(s) and attached					
	•	n, I hereby certify th	•	mination(3) and attached					
reportinedical	records/opinio	n, i hereby certify th	c tonowing.						
1.	During my eva	duation of Ms. McCa	arty I:						
	a) Went o	ver Ms. McCarty's r	nedical history with h	er,					
	b) Asked	Ms. McCarty question	ns about her current p	physical capabilities,					
		on and lifestyle;							
	•		y the job duties of a p	olice officer and her					
	•	ed limitations;							
			y her ongoing medica						
			and expertise, I provid	aed a morougn					
		l evaluation on Ms. ì	иссану; No						
	1627		140						
2. Do	es the Pension	er remain psycholo	gically disabled from	police service with the					
			e to her ongoing PTS						
5		<u>/</u>	No						
				D continues to disable her					
from pe	erforming unre	stricted police service	e? bus sur-	ETS, UNABLE TO AGE WE'M & AMOUNTO. PONTE REUL	monach				
anapi	e 10 ga	OUT MANE	19100 30050	EIS, anable to My					
CARS,	JACK CO	NFDENCE TO	CHANG FIRE	ach + Amaonino.	,,,				
	,		Physician Signature	WING ELLL					
9/21	122			/					
Date 1/2/	70	-	7 - 0 - 1	KRUI					
			Print Name	AvondAIE AVE A)	ill -				
			6323 N F	AVORDAIL AVE					
			Street,	1 60621					
SUBSCRIBED ar	ad assa		State _ Zip	7 8000					
to before me this	1.4		847 -7	778-9327					
or settle ine unis	2021		Telephone	10-2					
Separate	/ / /	24 /2							
Vhall IM	414/1H1.	Nemets							
NOTARY PURI	IC	21127							

Official Seal
Marianne Viola Nemetz
Notary Public State of Illinois
My Commission Expires 9/20/2026

EXHIBIT 5

Village of Lakemoor Mail - Brianna T

5/26/2020

Exhibit M

[Quoted text hidden]

Dr. Robin Kroll <arbinkroll@aol.com> To: David Godlewski <chief@lakernoor.net> Fri, Apr 12, 2019 at 8:02 AM

Hi Chief

No womes when you get to researching the ride along we can discuss. I am aware of her relationship. Maybe we can cross that bridge at another time and focus on day shift for now and we can figure out a creative altemative?

DrK

Sent from my iPhone

[Quoted text hidden]

David Godlewski <chief@lakemocr.net>

Mon, Apr 15, 2019 at 10:50 AM

To: "Dr. Robin Kroll" <drrobinkroll@aol.com>

Co: George Manis < Gmanis@lakemoor.net>, David Alarcon < david@lakemoor.net>

Bcc; Dominick Lanzito <dlanzito@pjmchicago.com>

We will be able to accommodate a day shift petrol two-person car for one month for Brianne. She will be riding with a day shift FTO and she will work the normal day shift hours of 7AM to 7PM. I will need something in writing from you recommending this course of action for our records. She will be required to be in full uniform and will be expected to perform in the capacity of a police officer. We will then need to reassess at the end of one month to see if there has been any progress. As we talked about, the night shift presents other challenges because of her current relationship with a night shift officer. We need to keep her with an FTO and the only one available would be the other officer who was involved in the July 28th OIS. I'm not sure how that would work out. Any thoughts? As soon as we get the release and recommendation from you we will go ahead and get it scheduled. Let me know if you can think of anything I may be missing.

Thanks

Quoted text hidden

David Godlewski <chief@lakemoor.net> To: "Dr. Robin Kroll" <drrobinkroll@acl.com> Cc: David Alarcon <david@lakernoor.nel> Bcc: Dominick Lanzito <dlanzito@pjmchicago.com> Mon, Apr 15, 2019 at 11:31 AM

Dr. Kroll,

Apparently, the ride along accommodation is off the table? Brianna just came into my office and to my surprise asked for a disability pension application. When I told her that we would make this accommodation she said that the disability pension would be the best option at this point. She didn't seem interested in trying the day shift patrol accommodation: Any thoughts?

(nebbir fxal betouD)

Drobinkroll <arbinkroll@adl.com> To: David Godlewski <chief@lakemoor.net> Mon, Apr 15, 2019 at 11:37 AM

saw her this morning. Can I call on my break around 3?

Sent from my iPad Quoted text hidden